

# Table of Contents

| Foreword   |    |
|--|----|
| Introduction   |    |
| Chapter 1: Mainstreaming Equality                            |    |
| Terminology  |    |
| What is Mainstreaming?                                       |    |
| Mainstreaming Equality at UWS                                | 8  |
| Chapter 2: Review of Current Equality Outcomes (2021 – 2025) |    |
| Equality Outcome 1   | 18 |
| Equality Outcome 2   | 19 |
| Equality Outcome 3   |    |
| Equality Outcome 4   |    |
| Equality Outcome 5   |    |
| Chapter 3: Court, Staff and Student Equalities Monitoring    | 30 |
| Court Equalities Monitoring                                  |    |
| Staff Equalities Monitoring                                  | 31 |
| Student Equalities Monitoring                                | 40 |
| Chapter 4: Future Action                                     |    |
| Appendix 1: Staff Equalities Monitoring                      | 53 |
| Appendix 2: Student Equalities Monitoring                    | 64 |
| Appendix 3: Legislative Background                           | 69 |



## Foreword

As Principal and Vice-Chancellor of University of the West of Scotland, I am immensely proud of the substantial progress the University has made since the publication of our Public Sector Equality Duty Report in 2021, where we set out our refreshed Equality Outcomes for 2021 – 2025.

Our Mainstreaming Report outlines some of our key successes since 2021. Some highlights include the creation of two new Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (EDI) posts, our refreshed Equality Impact Assessment Toolkit, publishing our Equality Diversity and Human Rights Code, and significantly contributing to several projects facilitating international EDI collaboration.

The Equality Outcome section of this report identifies the progress made towards meeting our Equality Outcomes set in 2021. We have also revised Equality Outcomes four and five, to better reflect and address the EDI challenges that UWS faces, which will enable us to drive meaningful and impactful change that benefits students and staff alike.

The Scottish Funding Council and the Equality and Human Rights Commission have come together to identify the most persistent inequalities in Scotland's colleges and universities and to pave the way for the removal of these inequalities. They have asked institutions to address them by contributing to a set of National Equality Outcomes (NEOs) as part of their Public Sector Equality Duty. Throughout this report, it will be highlighted where current work at UWS is contributing to the NEOs.

The final section of this report outlines the action plan, which focuses on key areas where we will concentrate our efforts over the next two years to develop and enhance our work further. This will contribute to achieving our equality outcomes, mainstreaming equality within UWS and further promoting an inclusive culture.

Diversity is one of our main strengths as an institution. UWS is a university where ability, not background, matters. I look forward to continuing to support and create an inclusive culture which celebrates difference and unlocks the talents of our colleagues and students.

**Professor James A. Miller FRSE** *Principal and Vice-Chancellor* 

## Introduction

This 2023 report is an interim update on progress of University of the West of Scotland's (UWS) Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) Mainstreaming and Equality Outcomes Report, published in 2021. This report is divided into four sections:

## Chapter 1

Mainstreaming Equality: this reviews our progress made in relation to mainstreaming equality within our institution since 2021, in relation to the Equality Act 2010:

- o Eliminating unlawful discrimination, harassment, and victimisation.
- o Fostering good relations between people from different groups.
- Advancing Equality of Opportunity.

## Chapter 2

Equality Outcomes: This reviews our progress made in relation to the Equality Outcomes set out in the PSED 2021 report:

- Students and staff most likely to experience bullying, harassment and hate due to their ethnicity, disability, sex, gender reassignment, sexual orientation, religion or belief report that they feel safe while engaged in work, work placement or study in physical or online spaces.
- Disclosure rates have increased for the protected characteristics of ethnicity, disability, gender reassignment, religion or belief and sexual orientation.
- o Female staff and minority ethnic staff apply for promotion to a comparable degree as other staff.
- o We have improved the gender balance on our programmes that have a gender imbalance greater than 75:25.
- Male staff and students and minority ethnic staff and students have increased engagement with UWS mental health support services.

#### **Chapter 3**

Data: This section outlines the equalities data we collect in relation to our court, staff and students.

## Chapter 4

Future Action: This chapter outlines the UWS action plan for the next two years detailing what action UWS will take to achieve our Equality Outcomes by April 2025, how we will work towards tackling the National Equality Outcomes, and what actions we are taking to ensure we close our pay gaps.

## About University of the West of Scotland

UWS is Scotland's most successful university for widening access. Strategy 2025 sets out the ambitions for the University for the next five years and lays the foundation for UWS through to 2050.

UWS is a significant force in global knowledge creation, innovation and a leading provider of undergraduate, postgraduate and research degree education. At the heart of this is the UWS commitment to widening access, equality, diversity and inclusion.

The University is committed to changing lives, transforming communities and encouraging enterprise through outstanding, distinctive and progressive higher education. At UWS, we are committed to creating a culture where everyone can meet their potential. These values are embodied in 'Our Truths' outlined below:

- We are here for our students.
- We are a global university.
- We are a knowledge-rich organisation.
- UWS graduates are world-ready.
- We value our people and UWS is a great place to work.

As one of Scotland biggest modern universities, UWS has a workforce of 1,744 staff and a student population of 17,408 (AY 2022/23 spread over five campuses; four located across the west of Scotland in Ayr, Dumfries, Lanarkshire and Paisley, and a campus based in central London.

Ninety percent of research at UWS is world-leading, internationally excellent, or recognised internationally, according to the Research Excellence Framework (REF 2021).

UWS is one of the top 200 universities for impact in delivering the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and is Scotland's leading university for its impact on decent work and economic growth (The Times Higher Education Rankings 2022: decent work and economic growth). UWS is the 23rd ranked university in the world for its impact in reducing inequalities (The Times Higher Education Impact Rankings 2022: Reduced Inequalities).

The University was placed by The Times Higher Education (THE) in the top 150 universities in the 2022 THE Young University Rankings. UWS was also named Higher Educational Institution of the Year at The Herald Higher Education Awards 2022.

#### Data

In line with GDPR and to prevent any identifiable data being published, several measures have been taken throughout this report when presenting data.

Wherever possible, a percentage and number will be given throughout this report. However, where the number of staff or students is less than five this has been indicated as "<5" and the corresponding percentage has been removed.

If a table only has one number that is less than five only numbers or percentages will be reported on (and where the number is less than five) this will be indicated as "<5" as to prevent the percentage and number being worked out from the other data available in the table.

In a few tables, there is only one number that is less than five and only the corresponding percentage has been removed. This is because these tables show groupings of staff or students and thus the data is less identifiable.

Where data is benchmarked throughout this report to the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) Scotland equivalent the dataset from HESA will be the 2020 -2021 data, as this is the most up-to-date data available at the time of writing this report.

UWS has one campus based in London and we take cognisance that our staff and students at this campus are more racially and ethnically diverse than our campuses based within Scotland. Therefore, the numbers displayed are not an accurate comparison to the Scottish census or HESA data. In future reports we will consider how to compare and present our ethnicity data in a more representative way.

### **Terminology**

There are a number of terms in use around race and ethnicity. UWS as an institution is aware of the sensitivities and complexities involved in the use of terms such as Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) and/or Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME). Reference to such acronyms depends on the context in which they are being used and why. UWS has a strong focus on advancing equity and eliminating discrimination and consequently refers to the barriers and discrimination faced by minority ethnic groups. For our data and research to be relevant and useful, we adopt 'BME' (Black and minority ethnic) as a commonly used term to ensure consistency with other public bodies/policy frameworks and to benchmark against their data. However, we are aware of the limitations of this term and try wherever possible to put information in context, or disaggregate the data where relevant.

## **Chapter 1: Mainstreaming Equality**

## **Terminology**

There are a number of terms in use around race and ethnicity. UWS as an institution is aware of the sensitivities and complexities involved in the use of terms such as Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) and/or Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME). Reference to such acronyms depends on the context in which they are being used and why. UWS has a strong focus on advancing equity and eliminating discrimination and consequently refers to the barriers and discrimination faced by minority ethnic groups. For our data and research to be relevant and useful, we adopt 'BME' (Black and minority ethnic) as a commonly used term, to ensure consistency with other public bodies/policy frameworks and to benchmark against their data. However, we are aware of the limitations of this term and try wherever possible to put information in context, or disaggregate the data where relevant.

## What is Mainstreaming?

Mainstreaming equality simply means incorporating equality into the day to day working and business as usual of UWS.

Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) must report on the progress they have made to mainstream equality within their organisations. The general equality duty requires public authorities, in the exercise of their functions, to have due regard to the need to:

- 1. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct that is prohibited by the Equality Act 2010.
- 2. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- 3. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not.

This chapter highlights several examples which evidence how UWS is meeting the three needs of the Equality Act 2010, general duty. This chapter is not an exhaustive list of all the EDI mainstreaming activity which has taken place, but instead offers some examples to provide an overview of what UWS has been working on over the past two years.

## Mainstreaming Equality at UWS

How did we eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct that is prohibited by the Equality Act 2010?

This section highlights how we have been mainstreaming equality to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation. We have made progress in this regard through supporting staff and students to increase their knowledge of equality and diversity and enhancing support for staff and students to report discrimination, harassment and victimisation.

#### EDI Training and Development

Staff and students have been given access to quality online equality, diversity and inclusion training. This training makes it clear what UWS expects regarding the attitudes, behaviours and values which reflect our core truths.

We want to ensure that we build skills, knowledge and understanding of equality, diversity and inclusion. We have increased our range of equality, diversity and inclusion-related online training and now have a significantly wider suite of training available.

Previously we offered fundamental training in unconscious bias and inclusion essentials. We continue to offer this as this provides a beneficial grounding in the basics of equality, diversity and inclusion. We also want staff to be able to explore in greater depth the specific protected characteristics and understand the barriers that can be experienced by particular groups in order to provide responsive support.

Therefore, we have expanded our training offer to include modules across a range of characteristics such as gender, race, disability and sexual orientation. This training is available to all staff, and we have recently made a suite of training available to students also. Staff have access to training on Equality Impact Assessments to aid them in understanding what Equality Impact Assessments are and how these should be utilised. In addition, equality, diversity and inclusion is now integrated into our Women's Leadership and Development Programme and within our Leadership and Management Development Programme.

UWS has recently introduced the Academic, Social and Professional skills for Innovation, Reflection and Endeavour (ASPIRE) curriculum. ASPIRE modules help deepen learning, and support students to build the mindset and skills needed to succeed in today's world. The ASPIRE curriculum will ensure that all students at SCQF level 7 will complete modules with a specific focus on EDI with modules focusing on cultural awareness, equality or equity, inclusion, and intersectionality.

The Equality, Diversity and Inclusion team also provide bespoke training to teams across the University to enhance understanding of equality, diversity and inclusion.

Table 1 - Staff EDI Training Numbers

| Title                                    | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | Grand Total<br>(2020 -22) |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Title                                    |           | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | (2020 -22)                |
| Unconscious Bias                         | 239       | 87        | 116       | 203                       |
| Inclusion Essentials                     | 261       | 89        | 148       | 237                       |
| Cultural Awareness                       | 0         | 34        | 68        | 102                       |
| Understanding Race Bias                  | 17        | 43        | 41        | 84                        |
| Disability Confident                     | 21        | 46        | 57        | 103                       |
| Gender Matters                           | 43        | 42        | 65        | 107                       |
| Inclusive Language and Communications    | 40        | 53        | 56        | 109                       |
| Sexual Orientation                       | 0         | 28        | 46        | 74                        |
| Trans and Non-Binary                     | 0         | 41        | 43        | 84                        |
| The Effective Bystander                  | 12        | 16        | 16        | 32                        |
| Understanding Equality Impact Assessment | 14        | 28        | 44        | 72                        |

Table 2 - Student EDI Training Numbers

| Title                                       | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | Grand Total |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Unconscious Bias                            |           | 59        | 20        | 79          |
| Inclusion Essentials                        |           | 9         | 1         | 10          |
| Cultural Awareness                          |           |           |           |             |
| Understanding Race Bias                     |           | 9         | 1         | 10          |
| Disability Confident                        |           | 8         | 2         | 10          |
| Gender Matters                              |           | 8         | 1         | 9           |
| Inclusive Language and Communications       |           |           |           |             |
| Sexual Orientation                          |           | 8         | 3         | 11          |
| Trans and Non-Binary                        |           |           |           |             |
| The Effective Bystander                     |           | 8         | 1         | 9           |
| Understanding Equality Impact<br>Assessment |           |           |           |             |
| Grand Total                                 |           | 109       | 29        | 138         |

Staff and student take-up of UWS EDI training has been low and falling over the past years as can be seen from table 1 and table 2 above. To address this, the Associate Dean for EDI is currently reviewing our training offer and is considering what staff and student training needs are. Work is also being undertaken to establish mandatory EDI training. Further information can be found in Chapter 4 highlighting details of future action to address the low engagement with EDI training.

#### Report and Support

The UWS Report and Support system was launched on 25 October 2021. This is an online platform that is used by institutions across the UK to provide a centralised platform for students and staff to seek support and guidance from a designated and trained staff member who may refer them to appropriate services.

In addition to being a reporting platform, the tool provides access to a wealth of internal and external sources of support for students and staff, including detail relating to the support available from local and national organisations.

This will enable UWS to better support students and staff. The data gathered via Report + Support is critical to shifting culture and can inform the monitoring of trends at UWS. Analytics from the Report and Support Tool are provided to the EDI Committee on a regular basis to determine any future actions in relation to the data trends. More information on Report and Support can be found in the key areas of work under Equality Outcome 1.

#### **Procurement**

Our published <u>Procurement Plan</u> refers to embedding inclusion and diversity by including it as an evaluation criteria in all tenders. A question is included in all UWS tenders asking organisations what methodology is in place to support the UWS <u>Equality</u>, <u>Diversity</u> <u>and Human Rights Code</u>. The code aims to establish an inclusive culture free from discrimination and based upon the values of fairness, dignity and respect.

### How did we foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not?

This section showcases the work the University has undertaken to foster good relations between people from different groups. Examples of this work include EDI Policies and Practices, our Leadership and Governance Arrangements, and our Staff Networks.

#### EDI Policies and Practices

Equality Impact Assessments (EIAs) are a fundamental tool to ensure that EDI is integral to decision-making and the development of policy and practices. To ensure staff feel confident completing Equality Impact Assessments and that they have the tools to complete the assessments in a meaningful way the EDI Committee implemented an Equality Impact Assessment toolkit. The toolkit launch included raising awareness of equality impact assessments with staff, making training available on equality impact assessments, and ensuring that completed equality impact assessments are published on the external web page.

The new approach with detailed guidance and the EIA template in a single toolkit has given staff the tools and confidence to complete EIAs and thus advance equality for all protected characteristics. Since the refresh we have seen an increase in the

number of Equality Impact Assessments being completed resulting in EDI being considered at the beginning of projects and the development of policy and procedures.

#### Leadership Arrangements

It is important for governing bodies to demonstrate leadership and accountability for embedding a culture which is both inclusive and anti-racist across the institution to understand issues relating to anti-racist practice across the University. In order to support Court members to understand the issues and apply a critical anti-racist lens to their discussions, a session on 'Tackling Racism on Campus' was delivered. Further sessions offering a deeper dive into the area will help to set expectations, provide oversight and scrutiny.

The University's commitment to equality, diversity and inclusion is reflected in governance and management arrangements at every level of the University. Recently we have enhanced our resource and capacity to deliver on our Equality, Diversity and Inclusion ambitions.

Two additional Equality, Diversity, and Inclusion posts have been created and recruited for. A senior post, Associate Dean of EDI will provide strategic and operational leadership on EDI in the University, assuming leadership responsibility for operationalising key areas and driving organisational change that creates an inclusive environment for both staff and students.

An EDI Advisor post was created and is responsible for promoting and championing EDI within UWS implementing the overall EDI strategy in line with the wider action plans and organisational development activities.

The creation of these two posts shows a commitment to ensuring that UWS has the capacity and resource to successfully drive forward EDI initiatives and create an inclusive environment where EDI is mainstreamed throughout the organisation.

#### Governance Arrangements

The <u>Equality</u>, <u>Diversity & Inclusion Committee</u> is responsible for supporting the strategic direction and monitoring inclusion within the University and is chaired by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor who also holds lead responsibility for EDI.

Furthermore, the <u>Equality</u>, <u>Diversity and Human Rights Code</u> was published in 2021. UWS is committed to advancing and promoting equality and diversity in all its activities and aims to establish an inclusive culture, free from discrimination and based upon the values of fairness, dignity and respect. The elements included in the Code are central to all UWS activities and the Code applies to all who represent UWS, both staff and students.

The EDI Champions Forum has been refreshed, ensuring that each school and department has a champion attending. The forum is used as a space to share best practice, any upcoming EDI events and to collaborate to work towards shared aims. The refresh of the EDI Champions Forum will support EDI being mainstreamed in all areas and levels of UWS. Shared aims for the group to work towards will also mean that the forum will have more strategic direction and is able to contribute to the wider EDI ambitions within individual areas.

#### Staff Network - Menopause

Menopause is a normal progression within a woman's life, however it can have significant impact both physically and psychologically. The symptoms of menopause can be severe and long lasting. However, menopause is still a subject which is considered 'taboo'. Menopause is a considerable life-stage for a substantial proportion of the UWS staff. With 58% of UWS staff being female, we want to ensure that our staff are supported and feel confident to ask for help if they need it.

To challenge the taboo associated with menopause we launched our first Menopause Café in September 2020 during Menopause Awareness Month, led by a local expert on menopause and its effects. We have listened and acted on feedback from the menopause cafes and created a menopause network and guidance for line managers.

The menopause guidance for managers was launched in 2021. The aim of the guidance is to raise awareness of menopause and to provide information and advice to enable managers to better support staff going through menopause. In addition to the guidance, training for managers and staff has been implemented during 2021-22 with 32 managers trained.

The Menopause Staff Network provides a space for those experiencing menopause to share their experiences and runs information sessions for all UWS staff to raise awareness of menopause symptoms and how these can be managed.

How did we advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not?

This section details the examples of mainstreaming equality which contribute to advancing equality of opportunity. Work in this area includes various initiatives to support positive Mental Health and Wellbeing, work on Widening Participation, and UWS' international collaboration on EDI work.

## Mental Health and Wellbeing

At UWS, we believe that the health and wellbeing of our staff and students is of paramount importance and have several avenues of support in place for staff and students.

UWS has an online cognitive behavioural therapy program, called SilverCloud. This program offers a supportive framework available to all students and staff, across campuses, enhancing wellbeing and providing support for issues such as depression, anxiety and stress. Feedback from Counselling and Disability staff has been very positive, commenting that students have found the resources to be relevant to them and helpful. 274 staff and students used SilverCloud between August 2021 and December 2022.

A UWS Breakfast Club was launched on 26 September 2022 to support student wellbeing, and offers free breakfasts to our students on Ayr, Lanarkshire and Paisley campuses, Monday to Friday every week to help them fuel up for the day ahead. Further work is being done to explore this scheme in Dumfries and London.

To support physical wellbeing, students and staff have free access to our gyms in Paisley, Lanarkshire and Ayrshire. In addition, we have a range of in-house activities and training to raise awareness of mental health and wellbeing.

Staff can access the UWS in-house Occupational Therapy Service, and an Employee Assistance Service that is open 365 days a year. Immediate family members are also able to access the Employee Assistance programme. Our Employee Assistance programme is available in different languages for those whose first language is not English. A translation option is offered, and counsellors use qualified interpreters to give advice and support in over 200 languages.

The UWS Employee Assistance Programme was called 108 times between 1 September 2020 and 31 August 2021 and 204 times during 1 September 2021 and 31 August 2022. This shows an increase in the use of the Employee Assistance Programme with utilisation in 2020-2021 being 8.1% and 14% in 2021-2022.

To address student and staff wellbeing and ensure that UWS has a sustainable and strategic approach, we published the UWS Mental Health and Wellbeing Plan 2021-2024 in November 2021. This is an institution-wide approach where the support and promotion of positive mental health and wellbeing is embedded throughout UWS. The ongoing development of a whole university approach will continue to be informed by frameworks for health promotion including the Healthy Universities and Stepchange frameworks. The approach aims to develop a culture of compassion and support at UWS and raise awareness of issues surrounding mental health. The Mental Health and Wellbeing plan will be reviewed and redrafted annually to reflect internal and external priorities in relation to mental health and wellbeing. Mental health and wellbeing has been highlighted as a priority area going forward and further information on how UWS plans to further address wellbeing can be found in Chapter 4: Future Action.

#### Widening Participation

At UWS widening participation has always been central to our institution. We believe in supporting and enabling students to achieve their potential regardless of their background.

Widening participation addresses patterns of under-representation in higher education. It is also part of a governmental education policy in Scotland and the UK. Widening participation attempts to increase the proportion of young people entering higher education, from under-represented groups. UWS works with prospective students from groups that are currently under-represented, including students:

- From low-income backgrounds and low socio-economic groups.
- Who are the first in their generation to consider higher education.
- Who attend schools and colleges where performance is below the national average, this includes FOCUS West or SHEP schools.
- Who are care-experienced.
- Who are young carers.
- Who are estranged from their families.
- From under-represented ethnic backgrounds.

UWS works with prospective students from groups that are currently under-represented through many pre-entry initiatives to encourage applicants from all backgrounds. Initiatives include: <u>FOCUS West Routes for All Programme</u> and <u>Scottish Wider Access Programme</u> (SWAP).

We offer college transitions support and articulation through our work with pupils of all ages across Scotland to engage them in inventive ways and encourage them to develop as citizens and to view university as a realistic and achievable goal for them, regardless of their background. UWS also supports students in eight Scottish colleges to study for degrees – either within their own colleges, or by moving to UWS courses.

#### International Collaboration

UWS is part of an international project to encourage more women into senior roles in higher education.

Funded by the British Council, UWS is part of the Gender Advancement for Transforming Institutions (GATI) project, which sees the University work with higher education institutions in India to address the gender gap and encourage diversity and inclusion.

The partnership has seen UWS deliver eight workshops to institutions in India to guide and support them through their Equality Charters, as well as involving them in existing UWS initiatives and women-focused programmes. These workshops have included the importance of mentoring in gender advancement and leadership in a gender balanced culture.

The University will also enrol a female academic from each partner institution in India to UWS's pioneering Women's Leadership Development programme. Through this initiative, UWS will introduce continuous professional development opportunities for participants, and mentorship programmes to transformation.

The GATI model draws inspiration from the Athena SWAN Gender Equality Charter and accreditation framework, which was developed and operated by Advance HE. Find out more about the GATI Project here.

UWS has also participated in the SEA-UK Leadership Exchange. The aim of this project is to engage in knowledge-exchange, in the subject of Leadership in Gender, Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (GEDI), to share our experience in this area and to develop a future international network for transformational activity.

UWS was recently selected to be part of "The Women in Science: Gender Equality Partnerships" which is an initiative of the British Council to facilitate partnerships between British and Brazilian higher education institutions. These partnerships seek the development of institutional policies and practices that promote gender equality in the areas of STEM, based on the guidelines of the Brazil Gender Equality Framework for Higher Education Institutions.

Through this project, UWS will engage in transnational knowledge exchange, sharing best practice in gender equality interventions with reference to the Athena Swan Charter and the Brazilian Gender Equality Framework. UWS will lead the establishment of an international network for longitudinal support and networking, help to drive transformational practice in each of the participating members, and to support the completion of the self-assessment process.

## Chapter 2: Review of Current Equality Outcomes (2021 – 2025)

## What is an equality outcome?

Equality outcomes are strategic and express results institutions will achieve to improve people's life chances over a four-year period. Universities and colleges in Scotland publish a set of equality outcomes to meet the Scottish specific duties of the Equality Act 2010 public sector equality duty every 4 years.

This year, we are reviewing the progress made to date on our Equality Outcomes for 2021-2025. We regard our equality outcomes as an important strategic tool in supporting our continued commitment and success in relation to equality and inclusion.

Overall, the purpose of the equality outcomes is to:

- Outline a framework for ensuring equality of opportunity, supporting diversity, and celebrating inclusion.
- Act as a tool to support positive change that impacts on students, staff and the University community as a whole.
- Enable everyone associated with the University to have a clear understanding of our commitment to equality, diversity and inclusion.
- Ensure that responsibility for equality, diversity and inclusion is clearly identified and led effectively from the highest levels.

#### **National Equality Outcomes**

The Scottish Funding Council (SFC) and the Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) have come together to identify the most persistent inequalities in Scotland's colleges and universities and to pave the way for their removal.

The National Equality Outcomes target improvements to the retention, representation and success of students and staff with a range of protected characteristics, as well as the procedures, services and support that colleges and universities should offer them to address any discrimination and disadvantage.

The UWS Equality Outcomes are being revisited in academic year 2022 - 2023 to take into account the newly published Equality and Human Rights Commission and Scottish Funding Council National Equality Outcomes guidance.

Chapter 4 outlines the future action that UWS will be taking to further advance our Equality Outcomes and indicate where these actions contribute to the National Equality Outcomes.

### **Equality Outcome 1**

Students and staff most likely to experience bullying, harassment and hate due to their ethnicity, disability, sex, gender reassignment, sexual orientation, religion or belief report that they feel safe while engaged in work, work placement or study in physical or online spaces.

### **Key Activity**

Gender-based violence and racial harassment were highlighted as priority areas that require to be addressed at pace in our <u>2022</u> EDI Annual Review.

The UWS Report and Support system was launched on 25 October 2021. This is an online platform that is used by institutions across the UK to provide a centralised platform for students and staff to seek support and guidance from a designated and trained staff member who may refer them to appropriate services. In addition to being a reporting platform, the tool provides access to a wealth of internal and external sources of support for students and staff, including detail relating to the support available from local and national organisations.

Analytics from the Report and Support Tool will be provided to the EDI Committee on a regular basis to determine any future actions in relation to any data trends identified. During the reporting period of October 2021 – December 2022, Report and Support had a low utilisation by staff and students, therefore it is difficult to draw any conclusions of evidence or trends. There were five staff reports in this timeframe, and 14 student reports.

Utilisation of Report and Support is thought to be low due to a lack of awareness. Other factors that may be contributing to low reporting include that there are other formal and informal reporting mechanisms such as mediation, raising a grievance and complaints procedure. Furthermore, low reporting may be due to staff and students not feeling safe to report, or a perception that reports will not result in a meaningful outcome.

In addition to the above, anti-racist assets from the Advance HE project 'Tackling Racism on Campus' were launched. The EDI Committee supported the development of a utilisation plan for the launch. These resources offer an online toolkit to support conversations about racial harassment, rights, and responsibilities.

#### **Future Action**

Future action on Equality Outcome 1 will focus on further monitoring, promotion and embedding of Report and Support. Further detail can be found in Chapter 4 of this report.

## **Equality Outcome 2**

Disclosure rates for staff and students have increased for the protected characteristics of ethnicity, disability, gender reassignment, religion and belief and sexual orientation.

### **Key Activity**

A number of 'Data Matters' campaigns have been held to raise awareness to staff of why protected characteristic data is required and the ways that this data supports UWS in their efforts to ensure that EDI is at the heart of all that they do.

Staff declaration is also now included in the Management and Leadership Development Programme to highlight the importance of knowing the diversity of your team. It is also highlighted in the EDI Calendar. As can be seen in the tables three and four, the current figures indicate that although more staff are disclosing their protected characteristics most protected characteristics have seen a percentage decrease. This area continues to require support to ensure increased declaration.

Table 3 - Staff Protected Characteristics Declaration

| Staff       | Info                 | 31/0   | 07/2020    | 31/0   | 31/07/2021 |        | 07/2022    | Comments                   |
|-------------|----------------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|----------------------------|
|             | Populated            | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Decrease in                |
|             |                      | 1305   | 97.5%      | 1322   | 97.5%      | 1660   | 95%        | those                      |
| Ethnicity   | Not Known            | 14     | 1%         | 16     | 1.2%       | 64     | 4%         | disclosing. The 'not       |
| Lumoity     | Prefer Not to<br>Say | 19     | 1.5%       | 17     | 1.3%       | 20     | 1%         | known' field is increasing |
| Sexual      | Populated            | 900    | 62.5%      | 835    | 65%        | 1122   | 64.3%      | Small                      |
| Orientation | Not Known            | 463    | 32.2%      | 441    | 31%        | 532    | 30.5%      | decrease in                |
|             | Prefer Not to<br>Say | 75     | 5.2%       | 79     | 5.9%       | 90     | 5.1%       | those<br>disclosing        |
| Religion    | Populated            | 662    | 49.5%      | 690    | 50.9%      | 704    | 40.3%      | Decrease in                |
|             | Not Known            | 666    | 49.8%      | 652    | 48.1%      | 595    | 34.1%      | those                      |
|             | Prefer Not to<br>Say | 10     | .7%        | 13     | 0.9%       | 445    | 25.5%      | disclosing                 |
| Disability  | Populated            | 879    | 65.7%      | 908    | 67%        | 1244   | 71.3%      | Increase in                |
|             | Not Known            | 459    | 34.3%      | 447    | 33%        | 500    | 28.6%      | those<br>disclosing        |

Table 4 - Staff Gender Reassignment Declaration

| Title                  | Info                 | 31/07/2020 | 31/07/2021 | 31/07/2022 | Comments    |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                        | Populated            | 18.3%      | 17.2%      | 14.9%      | Decrease in |
|                        | Not Known            | 82.5%      | 82.6%      | 84.6%      | those       |
| Gender<br>Reassignment | Prefer Not to<br>Say | <5         | <5         | 0.4%       | disclosing  |

Table five shows that student data tends to have greater declaration rates in all areas so efforts to date have focused on increasing staff declaration. In relation to student learner analytics, Workstream six of the Student Experience Project is leading on activity to develop a UWS ethical framework based on the 'DELICATE' model.

Table 5 - Student Protected Characteristics Declaration

| Students            | Info                 | 2020 – 20 | 21         | 2021 - 2022 |            | Comments   |  |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|--|--|
|                     |                      | Number    | Percentage | Number      | Percentage | Small increase in those disclosing.                        |  |
| Ethnicity           | Populated            | 20850     | 94.68%     | 22943       | 96.74%     | and an arrange   |  |
| ,                   | Not Known            | 1043      | 4.74%      | 657         | 2.77%      |  |  |
|                     | Prefer Not to<br>Say | 129       | 0.59%      | 115         | 0.48%      |  |  |
|                     | Populated            | 16158     | 73.37%     | 17303       | 72.96%     |  |  |
| Sayual Orientation  | Not Known            | 4569      | 20.75%     | 4671        | 19.7%      | Small decrease in those disclosing. Slight increase in the |  |
| Sexual Orientation  | Prefer Not to<br>Say | 1295      | 5.88%      | 1741        | 7.34%      | 'prefer not to say' field                                  |  |
|                     | Populated            | 16982     | 77.11%     | 17917       | 75.55%     |  |  |
| Gender Reassignment | Not Known            | 4653      | 21.13%     | 5360        | 22.60%     | Small decrease in those disclosing and a slight increase   |  |
|                     | Prefer Not to<br>Say | 387       | 1.76%      | 438         | 1.85%      | in 'not known'   |  |
|                     | Populated            | 17838     | 81.00%     | 19859       | 83.74%     |  |  |
| Delinion            | Not Known            | 3154      | 14.32%     | 2664        | 11.23%     | Small increase in those filling out                        |  |
| Religion            | Prefer Not to<br>Say | 1030      | 4.68%      | 1192        | 5.03%      | this field   |  |
| Disability          | Populated            | 18455     | 83.80%     | 20577       | 86.77%     | Small increase in those filling out this field             |  |
|                     | Not Known            | 3567      | 16.20%     | 3138        | 13.23%     |  |  |

#### **Future Action**

Future action will focus on running more 'Data Matters' campaigns to ensure that staff and students understand what their data is used for and who can access it. We will also focus on building a culture of psychological safety to ensure staff and students feel safe to disclose this information. Further work will also be done to embed an understanding of why the disclosure of sensitive information is important into managers' training. Further detail on specific actions can be found in Chapter four.

### **Equality Outcome 3**

Female staff and Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) staff apply for promotion to a comparable degree as other staff

### **Key Activity**

Key Activity has centred on reviewing the current academic promotions framework to ensure it is inclusive for all academic staff and recognises the full breadth of work they are involved in. Academic promotions were suspended during the pandemic but have since relaunched with light touch changes to the process. Table six shows a breakdown of academic promotions in 2022 by sex and ethnicity.

Table 6 - Academic Promotions 2022

|   | AC3 Lecturers | Senior<br>Lecturers | Readers | Professors |
|---|---------------|---------------------|---------|------------|
| Total number of applications                | 16            | 35                  | 23      | 14         |
| Number of female applications               | 7             | 21                  | 9       | 5          |
| Number of male applications                 | 9             | 14                  | 14      | 9          |
|   |               |                     |         |            |
| Total number of BME applications            | <5            | <5                  | 7       | <5         |
|   |               |                     |         |            |
| Total number of successful applications *   | 15            | 17                  | 7       | 6          |
| Number of successful female applications    | 7             | 12                  | <5      | <5         |
| Number of successful male applications      | 8             | 5                   | <5      | 5          |
| Total number of successful BME applications | <5            | <5                  | <5      | <5         |

<sup>\*</sup> Note: this total includes three applications for AC3 Lecturers who applied through the process but were appointed independently from the Academic Promotions process.

#### Future Action:

Future action will focus on the development of a revised academic career development framework and academic promotions process. We will also continue working towards programmes which will deliver an intersectional approach to improvement such as Athena Swan and the Race Equality Charter. Further details can be found in Chapter four.

## **Equality Outcome 4**

We have improved the gender balance on our programmes that have a gender imbalance greater than 75:25.

## **Key Activity**

Schools continue to work towards greater gender balance in their programmes and have a significant variety of activities in place to support their efforts. As can be seen in table seven several schools still show a significant gender imbalance.

Table 7 - students in each school broken down by sex

| School   | Female 2020-2021 |            | Female 2021-2022 |             | % Change<br>Females<br>2020 -<br>2022 | Male 2020-2021 |                | Male 2 | 2021-2022  | % Change<br>Males 2020<br>- 2022 |
|--|------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
|  | Number           | Percentage | Number           | Percentage  | Percentage                            | Numbe<br>r     | Percentag<br>e | Number | Percentage | Percentage                       |
| Business &<br>Creative                           | Trainio di       | r oreemage |                  | 1 ordernage | - 1.08%                               |                |                |        | roromago   | + 1.08%                          |
| Industries<br>(BCI)                              | 3897             | 47.65%     | 4056             | 46.57%      |                                       | 4282           | 52.35%         | 4653   | 53.43%     |                                  |
| Computing,<br>Engineering &<br>Physical Sciences |                  |            |                  |             | + 4.13%                               |                |                |        |            | - 4.13%                          |
| (CÉPS)   | 703              | 24.68%     | 1042             | 28.81%      |                                       | 2145           | 75.32%         | 2575   | 71.19%     |                                  |
| Education & Social Sciences                      |                  |            |                  |             | + 0.15%                               |                |                |        |            | - 0.15%                          |
| (ESS)  | 3431             | 81.07%     | 3676             | 81.22%      |                                       | 801            | 18.93%         | 850    | 18.78%     |                                  |
| Health & Life<br>Sciences                        |                  |            |                  |             | - 0.28%                               |                |                |        |            | + 0.28%                          |
| (HLS)  | 5473             | 80.93%     | 5527             | 80.65%      |                                       | 1290           | 19.07%         | 1326   | 19.35%     |                                  |

CEPS, ESS and HLS all have significant imbalances with one sex representing 70% of the students within the school. These imbalances reflect wider educational trends, e.g., female students are more likely to choose female-dominated paths in teaching (School of Education & Social Sciences) and nursing (School of Health and Life Sciences) more frequently and Computing and Engineering attract more male students.

**Revised Equality outcome 4:** Create and progress a SMART Action plan which seeks to address staff and student gender inequalities and imbalances at UWS at both a school and institutional level.

This Equality Outcome has been reviewed and reshaped for the final two years of this PSED reporting cycle. As UWS has limited influence over the gender balance of applications for specific programmes, this equality outcome has been reshaped to focus on the creation of a Gender Equality Action Plan. This SMART action plan will be informed by the inequalities that UWS has identified through our collected evidence. The actions within this plan will address general gender inequalities from an intersectional view and take action to address any gender imbalances.

## **Future Activity**

Future activity will focus on rigorous analysis of UWS staff and student data to determine where gender horizontal and hierarchal inequalities and imbalances at UWS lie. This analysis will inform the creation of a SMART action plan to address gender inequalities and imbalances at UWS at both a school and institutional level.

## **Equality Outcome 5**

Male staff and students and black and minority ethnic staff and students have increased engagement with UWS mental health support services.

### **Key Activity**

Significant work has been done to address the known issues of hesitancy in male students accessing support for mental health issues.

#### This work includes:

- Running male-only workshops to help encourage building good habits and establish practices to maintain these.
- Presentations to UWS sports captains to share the wellbeing support available to the predominantly male participants in their sports teams.
- Male students with low engagement are contacted and offered counselling support through a liaison between the Success Team and Counselling Team.
- A men's physical and mental health lunch event was held with a mental health questionnaire and information shared with participants about the wellbeing support available at UWS.
- Male UWS students who are international students, Doctoral students and residents in UWS accommodation are updated monthly by newsletters with wellbeing tips and information on how to access counselling support.
- Video has been created by the men's mental health group, with a UWS student encouraging fellow males to reach out for counselling support if they are struggling and providing details on how to do this.
- On campus mental health support events with male counsellors meeting students to help clarify what the service offers, i.e., confidential, talking therapy, non-judgemental, safe space to talk.

To better equip our counselling service to support all students, counselling staff undertook a full day of intercultural therapy competency training with external specialists. There has also been the development of information sessions and an information video targeted at international students who may not have same cultural understanding of counselling.

To ensure that our mental health support is inclusive and accessible to all, our Health Assured Service for staff and students is also available in a number of languages.

As is detailed in Table eight there has been a higher number of people accessing our Employee Assistance Programme overall. There has been an increase in the number of males accessing the service, however the percentage of males has decreased due to the other categories (female and not given) increasing.

Table 4 - Male and Female staff accessing the Employee Assistance Programme

|           | 2020   | -2021      | 2021-2022 |            |  |
|-----------|--------|------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Male      | Number | Percentage | Number    | Percentage |  |
|           | 24     | 22.2%      | 37        | 18.1%      |  |
| Female    | 66     | 61.1%      | 103       | 50.5%      |  |
| Not Given | 18     | 16.7%      | 64        | 31.4%      |  |

We do not currently hold any data on the ethnicity of the staff who access our Employee Assistance Programme and as such we cannot comment on these numbers.

As can be seen from Table nine, the amount of male and female students engaging with the wellbeing service has not changed significantly since the 2018 – 2019 academic year.

Table 5 - Student breakdown by sex of those engaging with the Wellbeing Service

| Sex                               | 2021/2022<br>No. of<br>students | 2021/2022<br>As % of all<br>students<br>accessing<br>counselling | 2020/2021<br>No. of<br>students | 2020/2021 As % of all students accessing counselling | 2019/2020<br>No. of<br>students | 2019/2020 As % of all students accessing counselling | 2018/2019<br>No. of<br>students | 2018/2019 As % of all students accessing counselling |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Female students                   | 383                             | 69.6%  | 363                             | 70.3%  | 391                             | 69.1%  | 402                             | 69.6%  |
| Male students                     | 167                             | 30.4%  | 152                             | 29.5%  | 173                             | 30.6%  | 162                             | 28.0%  |
| Students -<br>gender not<br>known | 0                               | 0%   | <5                              | -  | <5                              | -  | 14                              | 2.4%   |
| Total no. of students             | 550                             | 100%   | 516                             | 100%   | 566                             | 100%   | 578                             | 100%   |

Table 10 indicates the number of students who have accessed counselling by ethnicity. There have been fluctuations in the number of students accessing the counselling service. One number of note is that those who have refused to disclose information, or where the information is not known, has decreased.

Table 10 - Number of Students accessing counselling broken down by ethnicity

| Ethnicity                     | 2021/2022*<br>No. of | 2021/2022*<br>As % of all | 2020/2021<br>No. of | 2020/2021<br>As % of | 2019/2020<br>No. of | 2019/2020<br>As % of | 2018/2019<br>No. of | 2018/2019<br>As % of |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                               | students             | students                  | students            | all                  | students            | all                  | students            | all                  |
|                               |                      |                           |                     | students             |                     | students             |                     | students             |
| Arab                          | 10                   | 1.8%                      | 9                   | 1.7%                 | <5                  | -                    | <5                  | -                    |
| Asian British - Bangladeshi   | <5                   | -                         | <5                  | -                    | <5                  | -                    | 8                   | 1.4%                 |
| Asian British - Indian        | 19                   | 3.4%                      | 16                  | 3.1%                 | <5                  | -                    | 6                   | 1%                   |
| Asian British - Pakistani     | 25                   | 4.5%                      | 16                  | 3.1%                 | 17                  | 3%                   | 7                   | 1.2%                 |
| Black British - African       | 31                   | 5.6%                      | 29                  | 5.6%                 | 20                  | 3.5%                 | 15                  | 2.6%                 |
| Black British - Caribbean     | <5                   | -                         | <5                  | -                    | 0                   | 0%                   | 0                   | 0%                   |
| Chinese                       | 5                    | 0.9%                      | <5                  | -                    | 5                   | 0.9%                 | <5                  | -                    |
| Gypsy or Traveller            | 0                    | 0                         | 0                   | 0%                   | 0                   | 0%                   | <5                  | -                    |
| Information refused or not    | <5                   | -                         | <5                  | -                    | 6                   | 1.1%                 | 20                  | 3.5%                 |
| known                         |                      |                           |                     |                      |                     |                      |                     |                      |
| Mixed - White & Asian         | 5                    | 0.9%                      | <5                  | -                    | <5                  | -                    | 8                   | 1.4%                 |
| Mixed - White & Black African | <5                   | -                         | <5                  | -                    | <5                  | -                    | <5                  | -                    |
| Mixed - White & Black         | <5                   | -                         | 0                   | 0%                   | <5                  | -                    | <5                  | -                    |
| Caribbean                     |                      |                           |                     |                      |                     |                      |                     |                      |
| Other Asian background        | 9                    | 1.6%                      | 9                   | 1.7%                 | 7                   | 1.3%                 | <5                  | -                    |
| Other Black background        | 5                    | 0.9%                      | 6                   | 1.2%                 | 5                   | 0.9%                 | 0                   | 0%                   |
| Other ethnic background       | <5                   | -                         | <5                  | -                    | 5                   | 0.9%                 | 8                   | 1.4%                 |
| Other mixed background        | 7                    | 1.3%                      | 7                   | 1.4%                 | 7                   | 1.3%                 | 6                   | 1%                   |
| Other White background        | 25                   | 4.5%                      | 30                  | 5.8%                 | 36                  | 6.4%                 | 35                  | 6.1%                 |
| White                         | 386                  | 70.2%                     | 365                 | 70.7%                | 428                 | 75.3%                | 446                 | 77.2%                |
| White - Scottish              | 11                   | 2.0%                      | 8                   | 1.5%                 | 13                  | 2.3%                 | 5                   | 0.9%                 |

The access of counselling for several groups of students from minority ethnic backgrounds has increased since the 2018 – 2019 academic year including those who are Arab, Asian British – Indian, Asian British – Pakistani, Black British – African, Black British – Caribbean, Chinese, Other Asian background, Other Black background, and Other mixed background.

## **Revised Equality Outcome 5:**

We will improve staff and student wellbeing by increasing opportunities to engage with wellbeing activities through our Colleague Survey Action Plan and the Mental Health and Wellbeing Plan.

This Equality Outcome has been revised to address that staff and students may access support for their mental health and wellbeing through a variety of different channels and, as such, engagement with UWS mental health support services is not indicative of wellbeing.

Therefore, we will focus on improving staff and student wellbeing overall with a specific focus on those who have lower reports of wellbeing at UWS. This will be done via our Colleague Survey Action Plan which has a significant focus on mental health and wellbeing as well as our dedicated Mental Health and Wellbeing Plan.

#### **Future Action**

Future action under Equality Outcome 5 will focus on establishing baseline figures on staff and student wellbeing at UWS and continuing to progress our Mental Health and Wellbeing Plan. We will also ensure management is equipped to address work life balance issues and appropriate wellbeing resources are available to support staff.

## Chapter 3: Court, Staff and Student Equalities Monitoring

## **Court Equalities Monitoring**

The diversity of Court members is monitored through consideration of the following 10 characteristics – Gender; Gender Re-Assignment; Race; Sexual Orientation; Disability; Age; Religion or Belief; Pregnancy or Maternity; Marital Status and BSL User.

However, due to the low numbers in some areas it is not possible to publish the breakdown of these figures. Table 11 shows the breakdown of Court members by sex.

Table 11 - Court members by sex

|           | As of 31 | July 2021 | As of 31 July 2022 |      |  |
|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|------|--|
|           | Female   | Male      | Female             | Male |  |
| Court     | 8        | 13        | 8                  | 13   |  |
| Member    |          |           |                    |      |  |
| Court Lay | <5       | 8         | <5                 | 8    |  |
| Member    |          |           |                    |      |  |
| Senate    | 15       | 21        | 17                 | 19   |  |

Court equalities monitoring information is submitted to the Governance & Nominations Committee in aggregated format and used to the inform recruitment, selection and appointment of new lay Governors. Court also monitors the gender representation of the membership in line with the Gender Representation on Public Bodies (Scotland) Act 2018.

Text included in our adverts highlights that applications from people who would increase the diversity of the Court are particularly welcomed and that we may employ positive action where diverse candidates can demonstrate their ability to perform the role equally well. Where we use recruitment consultants to support the process, they are made aware of our ambitions regarding the diversity of Court, and we also ensure a gender-balanced selection panel is in place.

Following a review, a new sub-Committee of the Court (the Resources & People Committee) was established in 2021 with responsibility, inter-alia to review strategic reports on the University's people resources including equality, diversity and inclusivity. Each People Report to the Resources & People Committee includes an update on the work of the Equality, Diversity & Inclusivity (currently a sub-Committee of the Senate).

## Staff Equalities Monitoring

This section outlines the results of data collection, required by the Scottish Government, demonstrating changes that have occurred in recruitment, selection and retention.

### Staff Age Profile

Overall, the age range of staff remained consistent 2021 – 2022. However, there has been an increase in recruitment of staff in the under 50 age group, and the under 50 proportion has increased (from 54.8% to 60.7%), whereas those aged 51+ has decreased (from 45.2% to 39.3%). A full breakdown of the staff age profile can be found in Appendix one, Table 12.

This reflects an accurate data range as 100% of staff completed their protected characteristic information in relation to 'Age'. We attribute this to our successful Data Matters campaign, which addressed the issues of how data provided on protected characteristics is stored and used and prompted staff to re-visit their personal files, to provide, and/or update, the information provided.

Overall, the UWS staff profile is older compared to the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) Scotland equivalent as can be seen in Table 13, Appendix one. UWS employs a higher percentage of employees over the age of 46 than the national average.

## Disability Staff Profile

Overall, those indicating whether or not they have a disability increased in 2022 with those who did not fill out the field (unknown) dropping from 31.14% to 28.38%. The disclosure related to Disability increased from 6.81% to 7.40%. Full details for 2021 and 2022 can be found in Table 14, Appendix one.

The percentage of UWS staff who have disclosed a disability is higher than the 2020/21 benchmark HESA data for Scotland of 4.2%.

#### Religion and Belief Staff Profile

The percentage of staff not disclosing their religion has decreased. However, those who have not filled in this information (marked as unknown) has increased. Overall, there were some slight fluctuations across most of the categories but no significant changes.

A full breakdown of the religion and belief staff profile for 2021 and 2022 can be found in Table 15, Appendix one.

#### Sex Staff Profile

The UWS split by sex is 58.3% female / 41.7% male and this has not changed significantly since 2021. The female proportion is higher than HESA Scottish staff benchmark for the sector, i.e., 45.7% female overall.

A further breakdown of staff by sex for 2021 and 2022 can be found in Appendix one – Table 16.

#### Sexual Orientation Staff Profile

Overall, an increased number of staff declared their sexual orientation in 2022 (rising from 59.7% in 2021 to 64.3% in 2022). Simultaneously, the percentage for whom this information was Unknown decreased (from 34.6% to 30.5%) and the percentage who 'declined' to provide the information went down as well (5.7% to 5.2%).

A further breakdown of staff by sexual orientation for 2021 and 2022 can be found in Table 17, Appendix one.

#### Race Staff Profile

Overall, the UWS staff profile is predominantly white. However, the proportion of ethnic minority staff within UWS increased over time (from 8.6% to 12.6%). In 2020/21 UWS was slightly below the HESA Scottish benchmark Ethnic minority staff level (11.9% in 2020/21). However, the current figure is in line with last year's benchmark figures.

In 2021 4.8% did not disclose their ethnicity and in 2022 4.9% did not disclose their ethnicity showing a small increase. Further details can be found in Table 18, Appendix one.

As mentioned previously, UWS has one campus based in London and we take cognisance that our staff at this campus will reflect the local population which is more racially and ethnically diverse than our campuses based within Scotland. Therefore, the numbers above and in Table 18 are not an accurate comparison to the Scottish HESA data. In future reports we will consider how to compare and present our ethnicity data in a more representative way.

#### Staff Working Patterns

The majority of UWS staff tend to adopt a standard work approach. A range of informal flexible working arrangements have been encouraged during the pandemic to support staff and students.

The same percentage but a higher number of UWS staff worked flexibly in 2022, compared to 2021. Flexible working occurred more frequently in Professional Services staff (12%), when compared to academic staff (5%).

By comparison, the number of staff working part-time decreased overall and the proportion of those doing so was higher in Professional Services staff at 27.9% (228) when compared to academic staff (12.3% or 106).

Further details can be found in Appendix one – Table 19. Tables 20 - 21 in Appendix one also show a breakdown of professional services staff and academic staff by working pattern.

Both Professional Services and Academic staff have seen a slightly higher number of staff working part time. However, within the academic staff the number has gone up, but the percentage has had a minor decrease.

Hourly and sessional workers decreased in both academic years overall, which is consistent with the UWS aim of reducing reliance on such contracts. However, there was a higher percentage and number of academic staff with an hourly working pattern.

Please note: the data in this section is based on roles, as opposed to individuals, and some staff undertake multiple positions simultaneously; for example, one member of staff may be employed as a senior invigilator, invigilator and scribe across one academic year. Thus, total staff numbers may appear higher than in previous sections.

#### Senior Management Working Patterns

Most senior management staff work a standard contract at 61.3%. However, the percentage of senior management staff has reduced since 2021 when 73.8% worked a standard contract. Over the past two years we have seen an increase in the number and percentage of senior management staff who are opting to work flexibly (13% to 29%). There has been a decrease in those working part time (11.5% in 2021 to 8.1% in 2022). Fewer than five senior members of staff worked an hourly contract in both 2021 and 2022. No senior member of staff has worked a sessional contract over the past two years.

The UWS family-friendly policies, including flexible and part-time working, are offered to all staff, at all levels, with no qualifying period of employment required.

#### Staff with a Disability Working Patterns

The number of staff who declared a disability mostly worked to a standard contract (55.8% in 2022 and 54.9% in 2021). However, it is worth noting that a standard contract does not necessarily mean that these staff work from a UWS location during their working hours. UWS has recently introduced hybrid working guidance – allowing most staff more flexibility in where they work. Most staff have the option to work from home some of their time, whilst other roles can completely be done from home. This may allow some colleagues with a disability more flexibility, and thus, reduce their need for part-time or flexible working.

Those working flexibly and part-time increased, with those working flexibly at 10% (up from 7% in 2021) and those working part-time at 17.8% (up from 14.2% in 2021).

Staff who disclosed a disability on hourly contracts decreased from 17.7% in 2021 to 14.7% in 2022 as did those on sessional contracts from 6.2% in 2021 to <5 in 2022.

## **Ethnic Minority Working Patterns**

Staff who declared their ethnicity as ethnic minority mostly worked to a standard contract (54.9%), those who worked part-time increased significantly from 6.6% to 13%. Those from an ethnic minority who adopted a flexible approach to working decreased from 4% to 2% and those on an hourly contract slightly increased (from 27.5% to 29.7%).

Full details of the number and percentage of Ethnic Minority staff working patterns in 2021 and 2022 can be found in Table 22 in Appendix one.

## Sexual Orientation & Gender Reassignment Working Patterns

The percentage of LGBT+ staff at UWS working a standard contract has increased significantly from 2021 to 2022 (57.3% in 2022 from 47.9% in 2021).

Those working part time has increased slightly from 12.3% in 2021 to 13.4% in 2022.

Those working flexibly has decreased from 10% in 2021 to 7% in 2022 as has those working an hourly contract from 27.4% in 2021 to 20.7% in 2022.

LGBT+ staff working a sessional contract was fewer than 5 in both 2021 and 2022. Please do note that any LGBT+ staff who did not disclose their sexual orientation or gender reassignment were not included in these totals.

#### Working Pattern by Sex

The majority of male staff are on a standard working pattern (64.8%) with the percentage of male staff working flexibly staying the same over 2021 and 2022 at 6%. The number of men working part-time has slightly increased from 10.5% to 11.1%. Hourly-paid and sessional male workers reduced in number, consistent with the UWS strategy to be less reliant on such contracts.

Female UWS staff also predominantly worked a standard pattern, however, female staff working a standard pattern is significantly lower than male staff working at 47.2% compared to 64.8% for males.

Those with flexible working hours decreased slightly from 12% in 2021 to 11% in 2022 and there was also a slight decrease in part-time working hours from 26.1% in 2021 to 25.4% in 2022. Hourly-paid and sessional working also decreased for female staff, consistent with the UWS strategy to be less reliant on such contracts.

Full details of the number and percentage of male and female staff working patterns in 2021 and 2022 can be found in Table 23 in Appendix one.

## Working Pattern by Age Group

As can be seen in tables 24 and 25 in Appendix one the tendency to work flexibly has fluctuated by age group from 2021 to 2022 with a decrease seen in the 31 - 40 age group (from 22.8% to 21.5%) and 51 - 60 age group (37.0% to 29.5%). The under 20 age group saw no change in the percentage of staff working flexibly. All other age groups had increased the percentage of those working flexibly.

## Summary of Staff Profile and Working Patterns

Overall, staffing levels have increased from 1660 staff in 2021 to 1744 staff in 2022 with the following trends evident:

- Overall, the age profile of staff has remained consistent over the past two years and is older compared to the Higher Education Statistics Agency Scotland equivalent. However, it indicated an increase in recruitment of staff in the under 50 age group.
- Disability reporting improved and remains higher than the HESA Scotland benchmark (7.4% of UWS staff reported some form of disability, compared to 4.2% for Scotland).
- Religion & Belief: Roman Catholic and no religion were the most frequently chosen categories, at 9.4% and 9.7% respectively.
- Sex: the UWS staff profile is predominantly female (58%), which is higher than the HESA Scotland benchmark (45.7%).
- Sexual Orientation: an increased number of staff declared their sexual orientation in 2022 (rising from 59.7% in 2021 to 64.3% in 2022). The percentage who 'declined' to provide the information decreased (5.7% to 5.2%).
- Ethnic Minority: UWS staff predominantly declared as white but the BME staff % is increasing (from 8.6% to 12.6%) and this percentage is slightly higher than the HESA Scottish benchmark Ethnic minority staff level (11.9% in 2020/21).

The majority of staff adopt a standard working pattern, however several numbers in this section of the report are worth highlighting:

- Flexible working is more commonly seen in Professional Services contracts (12%), when compared to academic contracts (5%).
- Hourly and sessional workers decreased in both academic years overall, which is consistent with the UWS aim of reducing reliance on such contracts.
- Over the past two years we have seen an increase in the number and percentage of senior management staff who are opting to work flexibly.
- Flexible and part-time working approaches are evidenced in every sub-group of staff, including staff declaring a disability, BME staff, younger age groups (i.e., below 40) and, increasingly, males.

#### Staff Recruitment Profile

As can be seen in table 26 in Appendix one, there were more male applicants in both 2021 (53.6%) and 2022 (61.7%) for academic and research vacancies. There was also a higher percentage of males (61.7%) who were shortlisted compared to the percentage of females shortlisted (41.2%). However, there was a higher percentage of females appointed (55.6%) in 2022.

As can be seen in table 27 in Appendix one there were a higher number of female applicants within professional services in 2021 (66.3%) and 2022 (55.6%). There were also a higher percentage of female applicants shortlisted and appointed in both 2021 (63.3% shortlisted and 65.9% appointed) and 2022 (61.9% shortlisted and 64.1% appointed) within professional services.

The percentage of applications from those from an ethnic minority background has largely increased in 2022 (from 7% to 58.4%) for academic vacancies. There has also been a sharp increase the percentage of ethnic minority applicants shortlisted (from 11.8% to 48.6%) and appointed (from 23.7% to 36.8%). Further details including the number of BME candidate applications, shortlisted and appointed can be found in table 28 in Appendix one.

Within professional services there has also been an increase in ethnic minority applicants (from 1.6% to 22.0%), as well as those shortlisted (from 3.4% to 13.9%). More details can be found in table 28 in Appendix one.

There has been an increase in those who have disclosed a disability applying for an academic role at UWS (from 1% to 6%). There has also been an increase in those being shortlisted (from 3.2% to 10.2%). However, there has been a slight percentage decrease in those being appointed (9.7% to 9%).

There has been an increase in those who have disclosed a disability applying for a professional services role at UWS (from 0.8% to 10.5%). There has also been a large increase in those disclosing a disability being shortlisted (from <5 to 47 applicants) and being appointed (from <5 to 16 applicants). Table 29 in Appendix 1 shows the academic and professional services vacancy applications from applicants who disclosed a disability which were received, shortlisted and appointed in 2021 and 2022.

Any candidate who meets the essential criteria and declares a disability is guaranteed an interview under the University's disability confident scheme.

#### **Staff Promotions**

When considering the number of male and female applications in 2019 to 2022 broken down by sex and school the numbers are low with most schools having fewer than five applications from male and female applicants. Therefore, limited meaningful analysis can be done on these numbers as they are not large enough to show any trends.

#### Recruitment

- The number of academic vacancies rose from 92 to 123, with a related rise in applicants from 1682 to 2049.
- The number of professional services staff vacancies also increased from 46 to 112, with a rise in applications from 1176 to 1271.
- For professional services roles, the number of females who applied, were shortlisted and were appointed increased from 2021 to 200. However, the percentage in all three of these categories decreased for females.
- For academic roles the number and percentage of female applications decreased whilst the number of females shortlisted and who were appointed increased. The percentage of females shortlisted and appointed decreased.
- Ethnicity: the number & percentage of ethnic minority applicants for academic and professional services vacancies increased. Across the board there was also an increase in ethnic minority applicants being shortlisted and appointed.
- The number and percentage of applicants and those shortlisted for academic and professional services positions disclosing a disability increased.
- However, the percentage of appointees who disclosed a disability within academic roles decreased slightly (from 9.7% to 9%). Within professional services this saw an increase across both the number and percentage.

# Adoption, Maternity, Paternity and Shared Parental Leave

The number of staff going on maternity leave decreased from 33 in 2021 to 22 in 2022. The number of staff taking adoption leave did not change with no staff taking this type of leave in 2021 or 2022. The number of staff on paternity leave has also decreased slightly from 9 in 2021 to 8 in 2022. Those taking shared parental leave has increased from 0 in 2021 to <5 in 2022. <5 staff did not return to work in 2021 after taking some type of family leave, whereas all staff returned to work in 2022.

#### Leavers:

Overall, 179 staff left in 2021 and 203 left in 2022 and the profile of those who did so was as follows:

- More females left than males (62% in 2021 and 57.1% in 2022).
- Of those leaving, 6.7% declared a disability in 2021 and 5.9%% did so in 2022.
- The percentage of leavers for whom it was unknown if they had a disability was high in both years, at 36.3% in 2021 and 30% in 2022.
- 6.1% of those leaving in 2021 were from an ethnic minority, which increased to 9.9% in 2022.
- Leavers who indicated they preferred not to say what their ethnicity was 12.3% in 2021 and 7.9% in 2022.
- It is not known what the sexual orientation is of the majority of those who left in 2021 and 2022. With 51% unknown in 2021 and 29% unknown in 2022.
- 42% of leavers were heterosexual and 3% of those leaving in 2021 and 4% in 2022 described themselves as gay.
- The majority of those who left in 2021 did not disclose their religion or it was not known (65.4% combined) and, of staff who did disclose, the largest percentage was 8.9% who described themselves as Atheist, a trend that was replicated in 2022 (48.2% not disclosed / not known & 9.9% Atheist).
- There were leavers in each age profile in 2021 with the largest percentage of leavers in the 66+ category (24.6%). In 2022 there were no leavers who were 20 or younger and the largest percentage of leavers were 31 40 (33%).
- Staff who left featured in every service category with most leavers in 2021 and 2022 being employed by UWS for more than 30 years (25.4% and 22.5% respectively).
- The majority of those leaving UWS did so on a voluntary basis. Of those who left on an involuntary basis, 50% were female in 2021, rising to 75% in 2022.
- In each year, the majority who left UWS voluntarily were white. Of those who left involuntarily, 0% defined as ethnic minority in 2021 and 2022.

More detailed figures can be found in tables 30 to 32 in Appendix one.

# Disciplinary Cases & Grievances

Within 2019 – 2022 less than five formal disciplinary cases have been reported each year. In 2019 less than five of these cases included bullying or harassment. From 2020 onwards less than five of these formal disciplinary cases included bullying or harassment.

The number of disciplinary cases investigated in 2019 was 5, however from 2020 – 2022 the number of cases investigates has been less than five each year.

The number of cases resulting in disciplinary action has been less than five each year from 2019 – 2022.

Please note that the above information only includes formal disciplinary cases. From January 2021 there have been:

- 13 formal grievances
  - o 9 not upheld
  - o <5 partially upheld</p>
  - o <5 ongoing
- <5 informal grievances, all of which had an informal resolution put into place.

# Student Equalities Monitoring

The following section refers to students on all campuses, at both under- and post-graduate level (including post-graduate taught and research). In academic year 2020/21, the total number of students was 19,524, which increased to 21,520 in 2021/22.

# Age

The UWS student age profile tends towards older age groups, with 52.56% aged 25 and over in 2021-2022. A full breakdown of the UWS student age profile can be found in table 33 in Appendix 23.

UWS has fewer students than the Scottish average within younger categories (20 and under and the 21 - 24 age category). UWS has more students in the 25 - 29 age category by 3% and 8% more students in the 30 and over age category.

Table 33 in Appendix two also shows the benchmark Scottish HESA average age groups.

# Sex

UWS Students were predominantly female (61.32%) and, again, this exceeds the Scottish 2020/21 HESA average, of 59%. Table 34 in Appendix two shows the UWS student breakdown by sex in academic years 2020 – 2021 and 2021 – 2022.

### Race

The number of students who are Black and Minority Ethnic increased from 2020 to 2022 (25.11% to 33.64%). The HESA 2020/21 benchmark indicates that 10% of the Scottish student population is from a Minority Ethnic background. With 25.11% of UWS students falling into this category in 2020 - 2021 UWS sits above the Scottish benchmark.

As mentioned previously, UWS has one campus based in London and we take cognisance that our students at this campus are more diverse than our campuses based within Scotland. Therefore, the numbers mentioned above and displayed in table 35 in Appendix two are not an entirely accurate comparison to the HESA data. In future reports we will consider how to present compare and present our ethnicity data in a more representative way.

# Disability

The percentage of students declaring a disability had a small increase from 2020 - 2021 to 2021 - 2022 from 9.52% to 9.54%. This is lower than the Scottish HESA average in 2020/21 of 15%. Please see table 36 in Appendix two for a further breakdown.

#### Sexual Orientation

The percentage of students who identified as LGBT+ increased from 6.83% to 8.06%. The percentage who did not provide this information also increased from 5.88% to 7.34%. Please see table 37 in Appendix two for a further breakdown.

# Religion or Belief

Overall, all religious categories were represented in the UWS student profile, with Christian (28.83%) and non-religious (36.80%) chosen most frequently. The percentage who chose no religion is lower than the Scottish HESA average of 60%.

The percentage for whom this information was not provided decreased from 14.32% to 11.23%. The percentage of those who refused to disclose this data increased from 4.68% to 5.03%. Please see table 38 in Appendix two for a further breakdown.

#### **School Student Profiles**

# <u>Sex</u>

Across both years, no School was evenly balanced, with the strongest imbalances seen in the School of Education and Social Sciences (81.07% female in 2020/21 and 81.22% female in 2021/22) and the School of Health and Life Sciences (80.93% female in 2020/21 and 80.65% female in 2021/22).

The next most gender imbalanced school was the School of Computing, Engineering and Physical Sciences which is male-dominated (24.68% female in 2020/21 and 28.81% female in 2021/22).

These imbalances reflect wider educational trends, e.g., female students are more likely to choose female-dominated paths in teaching (School of Education & Social Sciences) and nursing (School of Health and Life Sciences) more frequently and Computing and Engineering attract more male students.

Please see Table seven in this report for a further breakdown.

# <u>Age</u>

Each School is weighted towards students aged over 21, which will include, for example, those who were school-leavers when entering UWS but are now in L9 or above.

Please see tables 39 and 40 in Appendix two for further detail and breakdown of Schools by age.

# Race

In all Schools, the white ethnic category is in the majority & the trend fluctuates across Schools over two years, decreasing across all the Schools.

The Refused / Not Known percentage decreased in the School of Business and Creative Industries and the School of Education and Social Sciences.

Please see tables 41 and 42 in Appendix two for further detail and breakdown of Schools by race.

# **Disability**

The percentage of those disclosing a disability has seen slight fluctuations across schools. With one significant change seen in the School of Computing, Engineering & Physical Sciences as the percentage of students who have disclosed a disability decreased from 11.38% to 8.82%.

Please see tables 43 and 44 in Appendix two for further detail and breakdown of Schools by students who have disclosed a disability.

In summary, the Schools exhibit the following trends:

| School                                     | Increasing                                       | Decreasing                                  |
|--|--|---|
| Business & Creative Industries             |  | Female                                      |
|  | Black and Minority Ethnic Disability declaration | 20 and under age group White/race not known |
| Computing, Engineering & Physical Sciences |  | 20 and under age group<br>White             |
|  | Female   | Black and Minority Ethnic Disability        |
|  | Race not known                                   | declaration                                 |
| Education & Social Sciences                | Female   |   |
|  | Black and Minority Ethnic Disability             | 20 and under age group                      |
|  | declaration                                      | White/race not known                        |
| Health & Life Sciences                     |  | Female                                      |
|  | Black and Minority Ethnic Disability             | 20 and under age group                      |
|  | declaration                                      | White/race not known                        |

# Chapter 4: Future Action

| Theme  | Action   | Progress   | Success<br>Measure   | Responsible   | Relevant to  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Staff and student EDI training  This theme will address the low uptake of EDI training. It will also help to foster an environment where staff and students feel safe and engaged at work, placement or study. | We will critically review our staff EDI training offering and implement a new suite of training.  We will implement a clear approach to statutory, compliance, mandatory and EDI training for both new and existing colleagues.  Implement modules for students with a specific focus on EDI within the Academic, Social and Professional skills for | Training currently being reviewed.  New training due to be implemented by 2025  Agreed policy in place by June 2023  Implemented | New EDI training implemented  Completion rates of EDI training  Staff completion rates of EDI training  Implementation of ASPIRE modules for students on EDI | Associate Dean of EDI  Associate Dean of EDI  Director of Learning & Teaching Enhancement | UWS Equality Outcome 1  UWS Equality Outcome 2  National Equality Outcomes:  Disabled staff and students report feeling safe in the tertiary system.  Trans staff and students report feeling safe to be themselves in the tertiary system.  Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual staff and students report |
|  | Innovation, Reflection and Endeavour (ASPIRE) curriculum.  Continue to develop how we embed EDI into module and programme design and delivery (ILR)  | To be completed in 2023  | ILR's EDI<br>questions and<br>prompts refreshed  | EDI<br>Consultant/<br>Head of<br>Quality<br>Enhancement                                   | that they feel safe<br>being 'out' at<br>university and<br>college.  |

| Staff and Student sensitive information declaration  This theme will encourage staff and students to                         | Run data matters campaigns with messaging focusing on who can access protected characteristic data and how this data helps make better decisions for our employees.      | Ongoing. Data matters campaigns have run previously and will continue to be run.   | Increased staff<br>and student<br>sensitive<br>information<br>declaration.   | EDI<br>Consultant/<br>Director of<br>Student<br>Success  | UWS Equality Outcome 2  National Equality Outcome:  Disabled staff and students report feeling safe in the tertiary system.  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| declare their sensitive information.  It will also support open and transparent conversations to build psychological safety. | Implement Reasonable Adjustments Guidance for disabled staff.  Develop Trans Guidance for staff and students to promote understanding and awareness of trans identities. | Guidance to be submitted to EDI Committee in April 2024 Draft has gone to EDI Committee. Reviewed guidance to go to EDI Committee in April 2023. | Increased disclosure of gender reassignment amongst staff and students.  Trans staff and students report feeling safe at UWS | HR Operations Manager/ EDI Consultant  EDI Consultant/ VP People/ Director of Organisational Effectiveness/ Head of Registry | <ul> <li>Where representation is not proportionate to the relevant population, increase the representation of disabled staff in the workforce and on college Boards and university Courts.</li> <li>Trans staff and students report feeling safe to be themselves in the tertiary system.</li> </ul> |
| Wellbeing  | Development of a UWS<br>Wellbeing Plan;  | Embedded by 2025   | Success and retention rates of   | VP People  | UWS Equality Outcome 1   |

| This theme will | • | Undertake a            |                    | students who       | UWS Equality Outcome 2                 |
|-----------------|---|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| ensure          |   | wellbeing survey to    |                    | declare a mental   |  |
| management is   |   | obtain baseline data   |                    | health condition   | UWS Equality Outcome 5                 |
| equipped to     |   | to plan appropriate    |                    | should improve.    |  |
| address work    |   | wellbeing              |                    | And both staff and | National Equality                      |
| life balance    |   | interventions          |                    | students will be   | Outcomes:                              |
| issues and      | • | Review wellbeing       |                    | more aware of      | The success and                        |
| appropriate     |   | and occupational       |                    | how to access      | retention rates of                     |
| wellbeing       |   | health resourcing      |                    | mental health      | college and                            |
| resources are   | • | Facilitate campus-     |                    | support.           | university students                    |
| available to    |   | based wellbeing        |                    |                    | who declare a                          |
| support staff   |   | conversations          |                    |                    | mental health                          |
| and students.   | • | Re-establishment of    |                    |                    | condition will                         |
|                 |   | EDI/Wellbeing Group    |                    |                    | improve.                               |
|                 | • | Promote an             |                    |                    | Disabled students                      |
|                 |   | environment where      |                    |                    | report feeling                         |
|                 |   | work life/home         |                    |                    | satisfied with the                     |
|                 |   | balance is supported   |                    |                    | overall support and                    |
|                 |   | through the            |                    |                    | reasonable                             |
|                 |   | introduction of        |                    |                    | adjustments                            |
|                 |   | positive behaviours    |                    |                    | received, including                    |
|                 |   | e.g. No meeting        |                    |                    | from teaching staff,                   |
|                 |   | Fridays. To be         |                    |                    | while on their                         |
|                 |   | informed through       |                    |                    | course.                                |
|                 |   | wellbeing survey       |                    |                    | <ul> <li>Staff and students</li> </ul> |
|                 |   | data and wellbeing     |                    |                    | feel supported and                     |
|                 |   | conversations          |                    |                    | safe and are                           |
|                 |   | Proposal to            | Free fitness       |                    | confident that                         |
|                 |   | introduce free fitness | facilities         |                    | complaints of                          |
|                 |   | facilities to          | introduced in Ayr, |                    | harassment or bias                     |
|                 |   | colleagues including   | Lanarkshire and    |                    | on the grounds of                      |
|                 |   | concagaco inolading    | Paisley            |                    |  |

| access to campus gym  Continue work on the academic workload model to ensure a sustainable workload for academic colleagues  | Review of<br>workload model<br>April 2023 | Reporting of workload satisfaction through colleague survey  | Director of<br>Organisational<br>Effectiveness/<br>Deputy Vice<br>Chancellor     |   | race will be dealt with appropriately because complaints procedures are fit for purpose and offer effective redress.                            |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Review and develop<br>new institutional and<br>academic calendars to<br>minimise 'pinch' points.   | Academic year<br>2023 – 2024              | Monitoring of<br>absence levels<br>(days lost to<br>wellbeing related<br>issues (mental<br>health, work<br>related stress,<br>anxiety) | Director of Strategic Planning & Development/ Deputy Vice Chancellor             | • | Students and staff report that they have confidence in institutional report and support mechanisms because they are fit for purpose.            |
| Implement report and support mechanism.  | Complete                                  | Implementation of system   | VP People/<br>Director of<br>Student<br>Success                                  | • | Staff and students<br>know how to<br>access support<br>about violence,  |
| Increase embedding of<br>Report and Support to<br>ensure that staff and<br>students know how to<br>access support about<br>violence, abuse,<br>harassment and how to<br>report their<br>experiences. | Ongoing                                   | Percentage increase in staff and student engagement in reporting and supporting mechanisms   | Director of Student Success /EDI Consultant/ Employee Relations Business Partner | • | harassment and abuse, report their experience and feel properly supported in doing so because the services are fit for purpose Institutions can |
| Regular updates with ongoing evaluation of Report and Support will   | Most recent update to EDI                 | Update on Report and Support to  | Director of<br>Student   |   | evidence<br>approaches that<br>prevent and  |

| be received by the ED Committee to monitor the use of Report and Support.   | February  | EDI Committee<br>every 6 months  | Success/ EDI<br>Consultant              | respond to<br>violence,<br>harassment and<br>abuse   |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Student Counselling Services to monitor protected characteristics in orde to develop baseline data                  | Ongoing.  Counselling services continue to monitor service use. | Improved understanding of target groups, leading to improved communication efforts                                 | Director of<br>Student<br>Success       | Men (staff and students) know how to access mental health support (recognising intersectionality   |
| Counselling and support staff trained to understand mental health issues and needs of ethnic minor groups and males | staff (8 staff<br>members)                                      | Increased awareness and understanding of mental health needs for particular groups leading to increased engagement | Director of<br>Student<br>Success       | within that group).  The retention outcomes for university students aged 25 and over will improve. |
| Work with key local partners to raise over awareness of hate crime and hate crime reporting                         | conference run in   | Number of hate crimes reported and detected Third party reporting is increased                                     | EDI<br>Consultant<br>/Local<br>partners |  |
| Continue to offer students disability support to students through dedicated Disability Advisors ar offering study   | Ongoing   | Disabled student satisfaction  | Director of<br>Student<br>Success       |  |

|   | resources and assistive technology   |   |  |  |   |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| Recruitment, Promotions and Career Pathways  This theme will define clear and objective criteria for progression and clarify team priorities and encourage staff to discuss their workload where necessary. | Lead on a full review of My Contribution.  Phase 1 - consulting colleagues across the University, and looking for opportunities to improve the way we as an organisation approach performance and development conversations  Phase 2 - Improve our people-related systems to support colleagues with My Contribution conversations and reporting and supporting LMS  Develop a revised academic career development framework and academic promotions process | Review commenced in December 2022 for implementation of revised process in July 2023  Budget to support new system will be requested as part of operational planning and budgeting  Deliver proposal for Academic Career Development Framework by | Reporting metrics from My Contribution Reviews  Internal promotion rate for academic staff % of unsuccessful | Director of Organisational Effectiveness/ Deputy Vice Chancellor | UWS Equality Outcome 3  UWS Equality Outcome 4  Gender Pay Gap  Occupational Segregation  Ethnicity Pay Gap  National Equality Outcomes:  • Where representation is not proportionate to the relevant population, increase the representation of disabled staff in the workforce and on college Boards and university Courts. • Where |
|   | •  | January 2023, and launch of revised promotions  | academic<br>promotions are<br>reduced  |  | representation is<br>not proportionate<br>to the relevant   |

| Introduce career  | process by July<br>2023<br>Deliver proposal | Internal promotion   | VP People   | population,<br>increase the racial<br>diversity of<br>teaching and non-              |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| development frame to support colleague within PSS along w supporting learning interventions   | work and implementation                     | rate for academic staff and PSS  Participation rates across development activities | vr reopie   | teaching staff to teaching staff to align with student representation in the sector. |
| Increase and widen participation in inter programmes and activities that are designed to address occupational segregation, e.g., Women's Leadersh Programme, Management Development Programme, HighE etc. | nal s s                                     | Higher participation rate for internal programmes                                  | Talent & OD<br>Consultant /<br>EDI<br>Consultant/<br>Staff networks |  |
| Review our recruitment practices to ensure are inclusive.   | they To be completed by April 2024          | Recruitment practices reflect best practice  | Associate Dean of EDI/ Recruitment & HR Administration Manager      |  |
| Embedding and rev   |   | Work Well approach   | Director of Organisational  |  |

|  | (with consideration to both the University performance and work life balance)  In future equality monitoring reports consider how our London campus influences numbers particularly related to race and ethnicity and report on this more clearly to ensure appropriate action is taken to address imbalances and underrepresentation. | Review to be carried out Spring 2023  Will include this in future PSED report in 2025 | implemented and evaluated  Future PSED reports separate out our London campus staff and students | Effectiveness/ Deputy Vice Chancellor  EDI Coordinator/ HR Systems and Payroll Manager/ Head of Strategic Planning |   |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Leadership and improvement programmes  This theme will                             | Appointment of Associate Dean of EDI  Development of EDI   | Appointment made  Strategic direction   | Appointment of Associate Dean of EDI Strategic direction   | Deputy Vice<br>Chancellor<br>Associate   | Gender Pay Gap Occupational Segregation Ethnicity Pay Gap   |
| ensure an intersectional and institution wide approach to EDI is taken across UWS. | Within our next court recruitment round we will trial different recruitment methods to   | to be in place for<br>September 2023<br>ongoing                                       | for UWS EDI<br>activity identified  More diverse court<br>membership                             | VP Governance & University Secretary   | National Equality Outcomes:  • Where representation is not proportionate to the relevant population, increase the representation of |

| pr<br>C<br>to<br>w<br>in<br>to<br>At<br>E: | iversify our court rofile continue working owards programmes which will deliver an attersectional approach or improvement e.g., athena Swan, HR excellent in Research and the Race Equality Charter. | Ongoing Athena Swan to be submitted 2023  Race Equality Charter to be submitted 2025 | Athena Swan Bronze Awarded  Race Equality Charter Bronze Awarded | Athena Swan<br>Lead / Head<br>of Research/<br>Associate<br>Dean of EDI | •  | disabled staff in the workforce and on college Boards and university Courts. Institutions should also have regard to attainment levels by racial group and ensure that their curriculum is diverse and anti-racist. |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| SI<br>w<br>ge<br>in<br>bo                  | Create and progress a SMART Action plan which addresses the ender inequalities and mbalances at UWS at oth a school and estitutional level.  | Ongoing  | Creation of action plan  | Athena Swan<br>Lead  | •  | Where representation is not proportionate to the relevant population, increase the racial diversity of Court members and address any racial   |
| ap<br>Le<br>D<br>su                        | Develop a structured pproach to senior eadership Development including upporting transitions ato senior leadership.  | Defined approach<br>in place by June<br>2023   | Programme in place   | Principal and<br>Vice<br>Chancellor                                    | is not proportionate<br>the relevant<br>population, increase | college Boards. Where representation is not proportionate to the relevant population, increase the racial diversity of  |

| Engage with and explore the   | Action plan in place by 2025 | Programmes created and run | Associate<br>Dean of EDI | teaching staff to align with student  |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| development of bespoke asset-based programmes (such as mentoring) to enhance and extend the | place by 2020                | oroalog and run            | Dodn' or ED!             | representation in the sector.  Institutions will have regard to significant |
| leadership opportunities of existing UWS BME staff.   |                              |                            |                          | [sex] imbalances on courses and take action to address it                   |

# Appendix 1: Staff Equalities Monitoring Table 12 – UWS staff age profile 2021 - 2022

| Age group    | UWS 2021 |            | 1 UWS 20 |            |
|--------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|
|              | Number   | Percentage | Number   | Percentage |
| 20 and Under | 6        | 0.4%       | 13       | 0.7%       |
| 21-30        | 157      | 9.5%       | 204      | 11.7%      |
| 31-40        | 365      | 22.0%      | 401      | 23.0%      |
| 41-50        | 372      | 22.4%      | 441      | 25.3%      |
| 51-60        | 549      | 33.1%      | 509      | 29.2%      |
| 61-65        | 136      | 8.2%       | 132      | 7.6%       |
| 66+          | 75       | 4.5%       | 44       | 2.5%       |
| Unknown      | 0        | 0.0%       | 0        | 0.0%       |

Table 13 - UWS age comparison to Scottish average

| Age Group  | HESA/Scotland % 2020 - 2021 | UWS % 2020 - 2021 | UWS % 2021 - 2022 |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 25 & Under | 6.4%                        | 3.7%              | 4.8%              |
| 26 - 35    | 28.9%                       | 14.8%             | 17.7%             |
| 36 - 45    | 27%                         | 24.0%             | 26.2%             |
| 46 - 55    | 21%                         | 27.4%             | 25.9%             |
| 56 - 65    | 13.4%                       | 25.5%             | 22.9%             |
| 66+        | 2.7%                        | 4.5%              | 2.5%              |

Table 14 – disability staff 2021 - 2022

|      | Disab  | ity No Dis |        | sability   | Unkr   | Total      |      |
|------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|------|
| Year | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |      |
| 2021 | 113    | 6.81%      | 1030   | 62.05%     | 517    | 31.14%     | 1660 |
| 2022 | 129    | 7.40%      | 1120   | 64.22%     | 495    | 28.38%     | 1744 |

Table 15 - Religion and belief staff profile 2021 -2022

| Religion or belief | 2021  | 2022  |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Agnostic           | 3.6%  | 3.4%  |
| Atheist            | 8.1%  | 7.9%  |
| Church of Scotland | 8.3%  | 7.8%  |
| Roman Catholic     | 9.9%  | 9.4%  |
| Other Christian    | 6.4%  | 7.1%  |
| Buddhist           | <5    | 0.3%  |
| Hindu              | 0.5%  | 0.7%  |
| Humanist           | <5    | <5    |
| Jewish             | <5    | 0.0%  |
| Muslim             | 0.8%  | 1.4%  |
| Sikh               | 0.1%  | 0.0%  |
| Islam              | 0.8%  | 1.4%  |
| Other              | 0.7%  | 0.9%  |
| No Religion        | 6.9%  | 9.7%  |
| Not Disclosed      | 29.3% | 23.3% |
| Unknown            | 24.3% | 26.5% |

Table 16 – sex staff profile 2021 - 2022

|        | 20     | 021        | 2022   |            |  |
|--------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--|
| Sex    | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |
| Female | 962    | 58.0%      | 1016   | 58.3%      |  |
| Male   | 698    | 42.0%      | 728    | 41.7%      |  |
| Other  | 0      | 0.0%       | 0      | 0.0%       |  |

Table 17 - staff sexual orientation staff profile 2021- 2022

| Sexual Orientation     | 2021  | 2022  |
|------------------------|-------|-------|
| Bi Sexual              | 1.2%  | 1.3%  |
| Gay Man                | 1.8%  | 1.9%  |
| Gay Woman              | 1.3%  | 1.4%  |
| Straight / Hetrosexual | 55.1% | 59.3% |
| In another way         | <5    | <5    |
| Not sure               | <5    | 0.3%  |
| Unknown                | 34.6% | 30.5% |
| Prefer not to say      | 5.7%  | 5.2%  |

Table 18 - staff ethnicity profile

|                             |        | 2021       |        | 2022       |
|-----------------------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|
| Ethnicity                   | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| Asian                       | 69     | 4.2%       | 113    | 6.5%       |
| Black                       | 42     | 2.5%       | 64     | 3.7%       |
| Chinese                     | 15     | 0.9%       | 24     | 1.4%       |
| Mixed                       | 13     | 0.8%       | 18     | 1.0%       |
| White other                 | 116    | 7.0%       | 139    | 8.0%       |
| White                       | 1308   | 78.8%      | 1278   | 73.3%      |
| Other                       | 18     | 1.1%       | 24     | 1.4%       |
| Unknown (Prefer not to say) | 79     | 4.8%       | 84     | 4.8%       |

Table 19 - Staff working patterns

|                           |        | 2021       | 2022   |            |  |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--|
| All Staff Working Pattern | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |
| Flexible                  | 156    | 9%         | 163    | 9%         |  |
| PT                        | 324    | 19.5%      | 339    | 19.4%      |  |
| Standard                  | 856    | 51.6%      | 952    | 54.6%      |  |
| Hourly                    | 279    | 16.8%      | 253    | 14.5%      |  |
| Sessional                 | 45     | 2.7%       | 37     | 2.1%       |  |

Table 20 - Professional services staff working pattern

| Professional Services Staff Working | 20     | 021        | 2022   |            |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--|
| Pattern                             | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |
| Flexible                            | 101    | 12%        | 98     | 12.0%      |  |
| PT                                  | 223    | 25.8%      | 228    | 27.9%      |  |
| Standard                            | 350    | 40.6%      | 397    | 48.5%      |  |
| Hourly                              | 144    | 16.7%      | 58     | 7.1%       |  |
| Sessional                           | 45     | 5.2%       | 37     | 4.5%       |  |

Table 21 - academic staff working pattern

|                                | 2      | 021        | 2022   |            |  |
|--------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--|
| Academic Staff Working Pattern | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |
| Flexible                       | 47     | 6%         | 47     | 5%         |  |
| PT                             | 94     | 12.8%      | 106    | 12.3%      |  |
| Standard                       | 461    | 62.6%      | 517    | 59.8%      |  |
| Hourly                         | 134    | 18%        | 194    | 22.5%      |  |
| Sessional                      | 0      | 0%         | 0      | 0%         |  |

Table 22 - Ethnic minority working pattern

| Ethnic Minority Staff Working Pattern | 1 2021 2022 |       |     |       |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------|-----|-------|
| Flexible                              | 7           | 4%    | 6   | 2%    |
| PT                                    | 11          | 6.6%  | 32  | 13.0% |
| Standard                              | 103         | 61.7% | 135 | 54.9% |
| Hourly                                | 46          | 27.5% | 73  | 29.7% |
| Sessional                             | 0           | 0%    | 0   | 0%    |

Table 23 - Staff working pattern broken down by sex

| Female Staff Working Pattern | 2021 Male |       | 2021 Female |       | 2022 Male |       | 2022 Female |       |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Flexible                     | 45        | 6%    | 111         | 12%   | 47        | 6%    | 116         | 11%   |
| PT                           | 73        | 10.5% | 251         | 26.1% | 81        | 11.1% | 258         | 25.4% |
| Standard                     | 438       | 62.8% | 418         | 43.5% | 472       | 64.8% | 480         | 47.2% |
| Hourly                       | 131       | 18.8% | 148         | 15.4% | 119       | 16.3% | 134         | 13.2% |
| Sessional                    | 11        | 1.6%  | 34          | 3.5%  | 9         | 1.2%  | 28          | 2.8%  |

Table 24 - working pattern by age group 2021

| Working Pattern by Age<br>Group 2021 | Flexil | ole   | P   | PT    | Stand | lard  | Ho | urly  | Ses | sional |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----|-------|-----|--------|
| 20 and Under                         | 0      | 0.0%  | 0   | 0.0%  | 0     | 0.0%  | 6  | 2.2%  | 0   | 0%     |
| 21-30                                | 5      | 3.2%  | 19  | 5.9%  | 66    | 7.7%  | 66 | 23.7% | <5  | -      |
| 31-40                                | 31     | 19.9% | 74  | 22.8% | 198   | 23.1% | 57 | 20.4% | 5   | 11.1%  |
| 41-50                                | 36     | 23.1% | 73  | 22.5% | 218   | 25.5% | 35 | 12.5% | 10  | 22.2%  |
| 51-60                                | 72     | 46.2% | 120 | 37.0% | 305   | 35.6% | 36 | 12.9% | 16  | 35.6%  |
| 61-65                                | 11     | 7.1%  | 29  | 9.0%  | 55    | 6.4%  | 40 | 14.3% | <5  | -      |
| 66+                                  | <5     | -     | 9   | 2.8%  | 14    | 1.6%  | 39 | 14.0% | 12  | 26.7%  |

Table 25 - working pattern by age group 2022

| Working Pattern by Age<br>Group 2022 | Fle | xible | Р   | Т     | Stand | ard   | Hour | ly    | Sessi | onal  |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 20 and Under                         | 0   | 0.0%  | 7   | 2.1%  | <5    | -     | <5   | -     | 0     | 0.0%  |
| 21-30                                | 6   | 3.7%  | 29  | 8.6%  | 106   | 11.1% | 63   | 24.9% | 0     | 0.0%  |
| 31-40                                | 28  | 17.2% | 73  | 21.5% | 214   | 22.5% | 81   | 32.0% | 5     | 13.5% |
| 41-50                                | 40  | 24.5% | 84  | 24.8% | 253   | 26.6% | 56   | 22.1% | 8     | 21.6% |
| 51-60                                | 69  | 42.3% | 100 | 29.5% | 297   | 31.2% | 29   | 11.5% | 14    | 37.8% |
| 61-65                                | 20  | 12.3% | 38  | 11.2% | 61    | 6.4%  | 13   | 5.1%  | 0     | 0.0%  |
| 66+                                  | 0   | 0.0%  | 8   | 2.4%  | 19    | 2.0%  | 7    | 2.8%  | 10    | 27.0% |

Table 26 - Academic and Research Vacancy Applications broken down by sex

| Academic and<br>Research<br>Vacancy<br>Applications |     | 1682 applic | _   | )21<br>eived for 92 | vacancie | es    | 2   | 049 applica |      | 2022<br>eceived for | 123 vaca | ncies |
|---|-----|-------------|-----|---------------------|----------|-------|-----|-------------|------|---------------------|----------|-------|
| Academic  | Fe  | male        | N   | lale                | Unk      | known | F   | emale       | Į.   | Male                | Unk      | nown  |
| Academic<br>Applications                            | 716 | 42.6%       | 902 | 53.6%               | 64       | 3.8%  | 686 | 33.5%       | 1265 | 61.7%               | 98       | 4.8%  |
| Academic<br>Shortlisted                             | 160 | 47.1%       | 174 | 51.2%               | 6        | 1.8%  | 189 | 41.2%       | 253  | 55.1%               | 17       | 3.7%  |
| Academic<br>Appointed                               | 57  | 61.3%       | 36  | 38.7%               | 0        | 0.0%  | 80  | 55.6%       | 63   | 43.8%               | <5       | -     |

Table 27 - Professional Services vacancies applications broken down by sex

| Professional services vacancy applications | 2021<br>1176 applications received for 46 vacancies |       |     |       |     | 1271 ap | plication | 2022 l<br>ns receiv | _   | 12 vacar | ncies |      |
|--|---|-------|-----|-------|-----|---------|-----------|---------------------|-----|----------|-------|------|
| Professional Services                      | Fer   | nale  | M   | ale   | Unk | nown    | Fema      | ale                 | М   | ale      | Unkr  | nown |
| PSS Applications                           | 780   | 66.3% | 351 | 29.8% | 45  | 3.8%    | 707       | 55.6%               | 514 | 40.4%    | 50    | 3.9% |
| PSS Shortlisted                            | 131   | 63.3% | 71  | 34.3% | 5   | 2.4%    | 236       | 61.9%               | 136 | 35.7%    | 9     | 2.4% |
| PSS Appointed                              | 27  | 65.9% | 14  | 34.1% | 0   | 0.0%    | 66        | 64.1%               | 36  | 35.0%    | <5    | -    |

Table 28 - Vacancy applications from BME applicants

| Vacancy applications from BME applicants | Acaden | nic 2021 | Academ | ic 2022 | Professi<br>Services |      |     | al Services<br>022 |
|--|--------|----------|--------|---------|----------------------|------|-----|--------------------|
| Applications                             | 118    | 7.0%     | 1196   | 58.4%   | 19                   | 1.6% | 280 | 22.0%              |
| Shortlisted                              | 40     | 11.8%    | 223    | 48.6%   | 7                    | 3.4% | 53  | 13.9%              |
| Appointed                                | 22     | 23.7%    | 53     | 36.8%   | <5                   | -    | 10  | 9.7%               |

Table 29 - Vacancy applications from applicants with a disability

| Vacancy applications from applicants with a disability | Academ | nic 2021 | Academi | c 2022 | Profession 20 | al services<br>21 | Professional<br>2022 |       |
|--|--------|----------|---------|--------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Applications   | 16     | 1.0%     | 122     | 6.0%   | 9             | 0.8%              | 134                  | 10.5% |
| Shortlisted  | 11     | 3.2%     | 47      | 10.2%  | <5            | -                 | 47                   | 12.3% |
| Appointed  | 9      | 9.7%     | 13      | 9.0%   | <5            | -                 | 16                   | 15.5% |

Table 30 - Leavers data broken down by sex, disability, ethnicity and sexual orientation

| Туре                       |                             | 2   | 021   | 20  | 022   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| Sex Profile                | Female                      | 111 | 62.0% | 116 | 57.1% |
| Sex Fidille                | Male                        | 68  | 38.0% | 87  | 42.9% |
|                            | Declared Disabled           | 12  | 6.7%  | 12  | 5.9%  |
| Disability Profile         | Declared Not Disabled       | 102 | 57.0% | 130 | 64.0% |
| Disability Frome           | Not Disclosed               | 0   | 0.0%  | 0   | 0.0%  |
|                            | Not Known                   | 65  | 36.3% | 61  | 30.0% |
|                            | Asian                       | 5   | 2.8%  | 11  | 5.4%  |
|                            | Black                       | <5  | -     | 5   | 2.5%  |
|                            | Chinese                     | 0   | 0.0%  | <5  | -     |
|                            | Mixed                       | <5  | -     | <5  | -     |
| Ethnicity Profile          | Not disclosed               | 0   | 0.0%  | 0   | 0.0%  |
| Lumeny Freme               | Other                       | <5  | -     | <5  | -     |
|                            | White                       | 132 | 73.7% | 145 | 71.4% |
|                            | White other                 | 11  | 6.1%  | 21  | 10.3% |
|                            | Unknown (prefer not to say) | 22  | 12.3% | 16  | 7.9%  |
|                            | Gay                         | 5   | 3%    | 8   | 4%    |
|                            | Heterosexual                | 75  | 42%   | 122 | 60%   |
| Sexual Orientation Profile | Not sure                    | 0   | 0%    | 0   | 0%    |
|                            | Not disclosed               | 8   | 4%    | 14  | 7%    |
|                            | Unknown                     | 91  | 51%   | 59  | 29%   |

Table 31 - Leavers data broken down by religion and age

| Туре             |                       | 2021 | 1     | 2022 |       |
|------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|------|-------|
|                  | Agnostic              | <5   | -     | <5   | -     |
|                  | Atheist               | 16   | 8.9%  | 20   | 9.9%  |
|                  | Buddhist              | 0    | 0.0%  | <5   | -     |
|                  | Church of<br>Scotland | 8    | 4.5%  | 13   | 6.4%  |
|                  | Hindu                 | 0    | 0.0%  | <5   | -     |
|                  | Humanist              | 0    | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  |
|                  | Islam                 | 0    | 0.0%  | <5   | -     |
| Religion Profile | Jewish                | 0    | 0.0%  | <5   | -     |
| Religion Frome   | Muslim                | <5   | -     | <5   | -     |
|                  | No Religion           | 8    | 4.5%  | 25   | 12.3% |
|                  | Not Disclosed         | 65   | 36.3% | 50   | 24.6% |
|                  | Other                 | <5   | -     | 0    | 0.0%  |
|                  | Other Christian       | 9    | 5.0%  | 16   | 7.9%  |
|                  | Roman<br>Catholic     | 13   | 7.3%  | 21   | 10.3% |
|                  | Sikh                  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  |
|                  | Unknown               | 52   | 29.1% | 48   | 23.6% |
|                  | 20 and Under          | <5   | -     | 0    | 0.0%  |
|                  | 21-30                 | 17   | 9.5%  | 21   | 10.3% |
|                  | 31-40                 | 36   | 20.1% | 67   | 33.0% |
|                  | 41-50                 | 26   | 14.5% | 39   | 19.2% |
| Age Profile      | 51-60                 | 34   | 19.0% | 32   | 15.8% |
|                  | 61-65                 | 21   | 11.7% | 21   | 10.3% |
|                  | 66+                   | 44   | 24.6% | 23   | 11.3% |
|                  | Not Disclosed         | 0    | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  |
|                  | Unknown               | 0    | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  |

Table 32 - Leavers data broken down by service profile, voluntary or involuntary

|                 |                 |        | 2021       |        | 2022       |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|
| Туре            |                 | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
|                 | <1 year         | 33     | 13.8%      | 47     | 17.9%      |
|                 | 1-2 years       | 39     | 16.3%      | 53     | 20.2%      |
|                 | 3-5 years       | 28     | 11.7%      | 38     | 14.5%      |
|                 | 6-10 years      | 33     | 13.8%      | 21     | 8.0%       |
| Service Profile | 11-15 years     | 25     | 10.4%      | 15     | 5.7%       |
|                 | 16-20 years     | 10     | 4.2%       | 16     | 6.1%       |
|                 | 21-25 years     | 5      | 2.1%       | 10     | 3.8%       |
|                 | 26-30 years     | 6      | 2.5%       | <5     | -          |
|                 | >30 years       | 61     | 25.4%      | 59     | 22.5%      |
|                 | Female          | 110    | 62.1%      | 113    | 56.8%      |
|                 | Male            | 67     | 37.9%      | 86     | 43.2%      |
| Voluntory       | White           | 142    | 80.2%      | 162    | 81.4%      |
| Voluntary       | Ethnic minority | 14     | 7.9%       | 21     | 10.6%      |
|                 | Not disclosed   | 0      | 0.0%       | 0      | 0.0%       |
|                 | Unknown         | 21     | 11.9%      | 16     | 8.0%       |
|                 | Female          | <5     | -          | <5     | -          |
|                 | Male            | <5     | -          | <5     | -          |
| Involuntary     | White           | <5     | -          | <5     | -          |
| Involuntary     | Ethnic minority | 0      | 0.0%       | 0      | 0.0%       |
|                 | Not disclosed   | 0      | 0.0%       | 0      | 0.0%       |
|                 | Unknown         | <5     | -          | 0      | 0.0%       |

# Appendix 2: Student Equalities Monitoring Table 33 – UWS student age profile and HESA Scotland comparison

|                 |        |            |        |            | 2020-2021 HESA Scotland |
|-----------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|-------------------------|
| Age             | 202    | 2020-2021  |        | 21-2022    |                         |
|                 | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | 33%                     |
| 20 and under    | 5614   | 25.49%     | 5400   | 22.77%     | 29%                     |
| Age 21-24       | 5400   | 24.52%     | 5851   | 24.67%     | 14%                     |
| Age 25-29       | 3873   | 17.59%     | 4780   | 20.16%     | 24%                     |
| Age 30 and over | 7135   | 32.40%     | 7684   | 32.40%     | 33%                     |

Table 34 - student breakdown by sex

| Sex       | 2020              | -2021  | 2021-2022 |            |  |
|-----------|-------------------|--------|-----------|------------|--|
|           | Number Percentage |        | Number    | Percentage |  |
| Female    | 13504             | 61.32% | 14302     | 60.31%     |  |
| Male      | 8518              | 38.68% | 9404      | 39.65%     |  |
| Not Known | 0                 | 0.00%  | 9         | 0.04%      |  |

Table 35 - Student breakdown by race

| Race                      | 2020-2021 |            | 2021-2022 |            |  |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|--|
|                           | Number    | Percentage | Number    | Percentage |  |
| Black and Minority Ethnic | 6127      | 25.11%     | 8417      | 33.64%     |  |
| White                     | 14723     | 66.86%     | 14526     | 61.25%     |  |
| Ethnicity Not Known       | 1043      | 4.74%      | 657       | 2.77%      |  |

Table 36 - student breakdown by disability

| Disability          | 2020   | -2021      | 2021-2022 |            |  |
|---------------------|--------|------------|-----------|------------|--|
|                     | Number | Percentage | Number    | Percentage |  |
| Has a Disability    | 2096   | 9.52%      | 2262      | 9.54%      |  |
| No Known Disability | 16359  | 74.28%     | 18315     | 77.23%     |  |
| Not Known           | 3567   | 16.20%     | 3138      | 13.23%     |  |

Table 37 - Student breakdown by sexual orientation

| Sexual Orientation    | 2020              | -2021      | 2021   | -2022      |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|--------|------------|
|                       | Number Percentage |            | Number | Percentage |
| LGBT+                 | 1505              | 1505 6.83% |        | 8.06%      |
| Heterosexual/Straight | 14653 66.54%      |            | 15392  | 64.90%     |
| Prefer not to say     | 1295              | 5.88%      | 1741   | 7.34%      |
| No data               | 4569              | 20.75%     | 4671   | 19.70%     |

Table 38 - student breakdown by religion or belief

| Religion or Belief                               | 2020   | )-2021     | 2021   | -2022      |
|--|--------|------------|--------|------------|
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| Buddhist   | 103    | 0.47%      | 127    | 0.54%      |
| Christian  | 6717   | 30.50%     | 6838   | 28.83%     |
| Hindu  | 544    | 2.47%      | 1259   | 5.31%      |
| Jewish   | 12     | 0.05%      | 12     | 0.05%      |
| Muslim   | 1403   | 6.37%      | 2304   | 9.72%      |
| Sikh   | 148    | 0.67%      | 364    | 1.53%      |
| Non-religious                                    | 8673   | 39.38%     | 8728   | 36.80%     |
| Any other religion or belief                     | 133    | 0.60%      | 157    | 0.66%      |
| Prefer not to say                                | 1030   | 4.68%      | 1192   | 5.03%      |
| Prefer to self-describe (such as spiritual etc.) | 105    | 0.48%      | 70     | 0.30%      |
| No data  | 3154   | 14.32%     | 2664   | 11.23%     |

Table 39 - schools broken down by sex 2020 -2021

| Schools by sex                             | 20 and under |            | Age 21-24 |            | Age 25-29 |            | Age 30 and over |            |
|--|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| 2020 - 2021                                | Number       | Percentage | Number    | Percentage | Number    | Percentage | Number          | Percentage |
| Business & Creative Industries             | 2084         | 25.48%     | 2285      | 27.94%     | 1502      | 18.36%     | 2308            | 28.22%     |
| Computing, Engineering & Physical Sciences | 799          | 28.05%     | 897       | 31.50%     | 600       | 21.07%     | 552             | 19.38%     |
| Education & Social Sciences                | 1346         | 31.81%     | 977       | 23.09%     | 586       | 13.85%     | 1323            | 31.26%     |
| Health & Life Sciences                     | 1385         | 20.48%     | 1241      | 18.35%     | 1185      | 17.52%     | 2952            | 43.65%     |

Table 40 - Schools broken down by sex 2021 - 2022

| Schools by sex                    | 20 and under |            | Age 21-24 |            | Age 25-29 |                | Age 30 and over |            |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| 2021-2022                         | Number       | Percentage | Number    | Percentage | Number    | Percentage     | Number          | Percentage |
| Business & Creative Industries    | 1951         | 22.38%     | 2469      | 28.32%     | 1818      | 20.85%         | 2480            | 28.45%     |
| Computing, Engineering & Physical |              |            |           |            |           | <b>07</b> 400/ |                 | 2.4.222/   |
| Sciences                          | 726          | 20.07%     | 1000      | 27.65%     | 991       | 27.40%         | 900             | 24.88%     |
| Education & Social Sciences       | 1342         | 29.65%     | 1087      | 24.02%     | 758       | 16.75%         | 1339            | 29.58%     |
| Health & Life Sciences            | 1380         | 20.14%     | 1295      | 18.90%     | 1213      | 17.70%         | 2965            | 43.27%     |

Table 41 - Schools broken down by race 2020 -2021

| Schools by Race                   | Black and Minor | V          | Vhite  | Not Known  |        |            |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|
| 2020-2021                         | Number          | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| Business & Creative Industries    | 4008            | 49.00%     | 3189   | 38.99%     | 919    | 11.24%     |
| Computing, Engineering & Physical |                 |            |        |            |        |            |
| Sciences                          | 1029            | 36.13%     | 1765   | 61.97%     | 20     | 0.70%      |
| Education & Social Sciences       | 406             | 9.59%      | 3709   | 87.64%     | 101    | 2.39%      |
| Health & Life Sciences            | 684             | 10.11%     | 6060   | 89.61%     | 3      | 0.04%      |

Table 42 - Schools broken down by race 2021 - 2022

| Schools by Race                   | Black and Minority Ethnic |            | W      | hite       | Not Known |            |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------|------------|-----------|------------|--|
| 2021-2022                         | Number                    | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number    | Percentage |  |
| Business & Creative Industries    | 4936                      | 56.61%     | 3138   | 35.99%     | 594       | 6.81%      |  |
| Computing, Engineering & Physical |                           |            |        |            |           |            |  |
| Sciences                          | 1880                      | 51.98%     | 1657   | 45.81%     | 50        | 1.38%      |  |
| Education & Social Sciences       | 772                       | 17.06%     | 3735   | 82.52%     | 4         | 0.09%      |  |
| Health & Life Sciences            | 829                       | 12.10%     | 5996   | 87.49%     | 9         | 0.13%      |  |

Table 43 - Schools broken down by students who have disclosed a disability 2020 - 2021

| Schools by students who have      |            |            |        |            |         |            |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|--------|------------|---------|------------|
| disclosed a disability            | Disability |            | No Dis | ability    | No Data |            |
| 2020-2021                         | Number     | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number  | Percentage |
| Business & Creative Industries    | 436        | 5.33%      | 4628   | 56.58%     | 3115    | 38.09%     |
| Computing, Engineering & Physical |            |            |        |            |         |            |
| Sciences                          | 324        | 11.38%     | 2416   | 84.83%     | 108     | 3.79%      |
| Education & Social Sciences       | 558        | 13.19%     | 3510   | 82.94%     | 164     | 3.88%      |
| Health & Life Sciences            | 778        | 11.50%     | 5805   | 85.83%     | 180     | 2.66%      |

Table 44 - Schools broken down by students who have disclosed a disability 2021 - 2022

| Schools by students who have disclosed a disability | Disal  | ailitu     | No Disa  | ahility    | No      | Doto       |  |
|---|--------|------------|----------|------------|---------|------------|--|
| uisclosed a disability                              | Disai  | Jility     | INO DISC | ability    | No Data |            |  |
| 2021-2022   | Number | Percentage | Number   | Percentage | Number  | Percentage |  |
| Business & Creative Industries                      | 510    | 5.85%      | 5531     | 63.44%     | 2677    | 30.71%     |  |
| Computing, Engineering & Physical                   |        |            |          |            |         |            |  |
| Sciences  | 319    | 8.82%      | 3164     | 87.48%     | 134     | 3.70%      |  |
| Education & Social Sciences                         | 603    | 13.32%     | 3789     | 83.72%     | 134     | 2.96%      |  |
| Health & Life Sciences                              | 830    | 12.11%     | 5830     | 85.07%     | 193     | 2.82%      |  |

